arXiv:hep-th/9910153v1 19 Oct 1999

hep-th/9910153
NTZ 23/1999
October 1999

Conformal Transformation Properties of the B-type Supercurrent

Christian Rupp[1]

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Leipzig
Augustusplatz 10/11
D - 04109 Leipzig
Germany

Abstract

We investigate the superconformal transformation properties of the supercurrent as well as of the superconformal anomalies themselves in $d = 4$, $N = 1$ supersymmetric quantum field theory. Matter supercurrent and anomalies are coupled to a classical background of minimal supergravity fields. On flat superspace, there exist two different types of the superconformal Ward identity (called S and B) which correspond to the flat space limits of old resp. new minimal background supergravity fields. In the present publication we give particular importance to the new minimal case. A general formalism is set up which is then applied to the massless Wess-Zumino model.

PACS numbers: 04.62+v, 04.65.+e, 11.10Gh, 11.30Pb.

Keywords: Quantum Field Theory, Superconformal Symmetry,
Curved Superspace Background, New Minimal Supergravity,
Supercurrent, Anomalies.

---

[1] E-mail: Christian.Rupp@itp.uni-leipzig.de

1 Introduction

It is well-known that on flat $d = 4$, $N = 1$ superspace there exist two different types (called S and B) of superconformal symmetry breaking and correspondingly two versions of the supercurrent [1, 2]. In a recent series of papers [3, 4, 5, 6], the S-type supercurrent has been studied by coupling it to a background of old minimal supergravity fields, such that the superconformal Ward identity on flat space corresponds to the flat space limit of a combined diffeomorphism and Weyl Ward identity. By functionally differentiating with respect to the supergravity field $H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, the superconformal transformation properties of Green functions with one or more insertions of the S-type supercurrent have been explicitly determined to all orders in perturbation theory for the Wess-Zumino model as well as for SQED. It has been shown there that the anomalous breaking of Weyl symmetry on curved space may be expressed in terms of a *local Callan-Symanzik equation*, in which all dynamical anomalies are parametrized by the β and γ functions of the theory. This equation already contains all information on superconformal anomalies of multiple supercurrent insertions.

In the present article, the B-type supercurrent is considered in a similar way by using a background of *new minimal* supergravity fields. Furthermore, we also investigate the transformation properties of the anomalous breaking terms B_α and S themselves.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, superconformal Ward identities on flat space are briefly reviewed, including the two possible types of breaking terms. In section 3, the formalism of coupling the supercurrent as well as the breaking terms to external fields is presented in a model independent way. The types S and B of the superconformal Ward identity are shown to correspond to the flat space limit of *old* resp. *new* minimal supergravity backgrounds. The transition from the old to the new formulation is achieved by introducing an additional external field L (which is linear and real) in a Weyl invariant way. The choice of parametrization of the new minimal supergravity is non-trivial here, the primary requirement being the possibility to vary all fields independently. This problem is solved by expressing L in terms of a flat space chiral spinor superfield η_α. By taking functional derivatives with respect to the external supergravity fields, the transformation properties of supercurrent and breaking terms are obtained. Since all Ward identities are formulated off-shell and for arbitrary functionals, they may be applied to the classical action as well as to the vertex functional. In section 4 we consider the massless Wess-Zumino model in a perturbative approach using BPHZL renormalization. A close analysis of all possible breaking terms shows that the Weyl invariant coupling of L is possible, such that the formalism of section 3 may be applied.

2 Superconformal Ward identities

According to the Noether theorem, for each continuous symmetry there exists a conserved current. At first, these currents are fields on Minkowski space and are given in terms of components of the superfields involved. As usual, the energy-momentum tensor corresponds to translational invariance, while supersymmetry implies the existence of a conserved current called *supersymmetry current*. This supersymmetry current $Q_{a\alpha}$ should be clearly distinguished from the *supercurrent* $V_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ which is a superfield having the energy-momentum tensor T_{ab}, supersymmetry current $Q_{a\alpha}$ and R-current R_a amongst its components.

Suppose we have a superconformally invariant action Γ. Then the supercurrent is itself conserved in the sense that the Ward identities

$$8\mathrm{i}w\Gamma = \partial^a V_a \tag{2.1a}$$

$$-16 w_\alpha \Gamma = \bar D^{\dot\alpha} V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \tag{2.1b}$$

$$-16 \bar w_{\dot\alpha} \Gamma = D^\alpha V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \tag{2.1c}$$

hold, where $w = D^\alpha w_\alpha - \bar D_{\dot\alpha} \bar w^{\dot\alpha}$, and w_α is the *local Ward operator* of superconformal transformations. If Γ depends only on a chiral field A, w_α is given by

$$w_\alpha = \tfrac{1}{4} D_\alpha A \frac{\delta}{\delta A} - \tfrac{1}{12} D_\alpha \left(A \frac{\delta}{\delta A} \right) . \tag{2.2}$$

The meaning of w_α has been discussed in detail in [3]. At this point it is sufficient to note that w_α is a functional differential operator such that $w_\alpha\Gamma$ vanishes on-shell. Thus the divergence of V_a also vanishes on-shell, and V_a is a conserved current. Equations (2.1b), (2.1c) represent a decomposition of (2.1a) and are called *trace identities*.

In the superconformal case, conserved R-current, energy-momentum tensor and supersymmetry current are given by

$$R_a = \tfrac{1}{2} C_a \tag{2.3a}$$

$$Q_{a\alpha} = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \chi_{a\alpha} \tag{2.3b}$$

$$T_{ab} = -\tfrac{1}{4} v_{(ab)} \, , \tag{2.3c}$$

where

$$V_a(z) = C_a(x) + \theta^\alpha \chi_{a\alpha}(x) + \bar\theta_{\dot\alpha} \bar\chi_a{}^{\dot\alpha}(x) + \theta\sigma^b\bar\theta v_{ab}(x) + \ldots . \tag{2.4}$$

Let us now turn to the case of broken superconformal invariance. In this case, the Ward identities (2.1) contain additional breaking terms B_α and S:

$$8\mathrm{i}w\Gamma = \partial^a V_a - \mathrm{i}\left(D^2 S - \bar{D}^2 \bar{S}\right) , \tag{2.5a}$$

$$-16 w_\alpha \Gamma = \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha} V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} - B_\alpha + 2 D_\alpha S , \tag{2.5b}$$

$$-16 \bar{w}_{\dot\alpha} \Gamma = D^{\alpha} V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} - \bar{B}_{\dot\alpha} + 2 \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} \bar{S} , \tag{2.5c}$$

where

$$\bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} S = 0 , \tag{2.6a}$$

$$\bar{D}^2 B_\alpha = 0 , \qquad D^\alpha B_\alpha - \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} \bar{B}^{\dot\alpha} = 0 . \tag{2.6b}$$

The restrictions (2.6) on B_α and S ensure that Γ is still super Poincaré invariant. It has been shown however [1, 2], that a conserved energy-momentum tensor and supersymmetry current can be formed from the components of V_a only if either B_α or S vanishes[2]. The decomposition (2.5b) of $w_\alpha \Gamma$ into V, S and B is far from being unique. Indeed, in all known cases it is possible to eliminate B_α by a redefinition of V and S. In some cases – but not always – it is also possible to eliminate S by a redefinition of V and B. The case $S = 0$ is denoted as *B formulation*, the corresponding supercurrent as *B-type supercurrent*. Analogously, for $B = 0$ we have the *S formulation* and the *S-type supercurrent*. In the superconformal case, both formulations coincide.

From (2.5a) it is clear that if $S = 0$ then (2.3) yields conserved currents. This implies that the B formulation can only be possible for R-invariant theories. If $S \neq 0$, Γ may or may not be R-invariant. Furthermore R, Q and T defined by (2.3) are not conserved as (2.5a) shows. There exists, however, a different definition of conserved currents $Q_{a\alpha}$ and T_{ab} in terms of supercurrent components [1], given by

$$T_{ab} = -\tfrac{1}{8}(v_{ab} + v_{ba} - 2\eta_{ab} v^c{}_c) , \tag{2.7}$$

$$Q_a{}^\alpha = \mathrm{i}\left(\chi_a{}^\alpha - (\sigma_a \bar\sigma_b)_\alpha{}^\beta \chi^b{}_\beta\right) , \tag{2.8}$$

$$R_a(x) = \tfrac{1}{2} C_a(x) . \tag{2.9}$$

3 Classical Formalism

3.1 Old minimal supergravity background

The covariant derivatives

$$\mathscr{D}_A \equiv (\mathscr{D}_a, \mathscr{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathscr{D}}^{\dot\alpha}) \tag{3.1}$$

---

[2] A conserved energy-momentum tensor and supersymmetry current do also exist if B_α and S are both different from zero. But in this case they can not be expressed in terms of components of the supercurrent only.

of old minimal supergravity are determined by the prepotentials H and ϕ, where $H = H^a\partial_a$ is a real vector superfield and $\phi = \mathrm{e}^J$ is chiral. On flat space ($H = 0$, $J = 0$) they reduce to the usual derivatives

$$D_A \equiv (\partial_a, D_\alpha, \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}})\,. \tag{3.2}$$

In this paper we use the real as well as the curved space chiral representation. Quantities in the real representation are denoted by letters with a tilde, e.g. $\tilde{\Phi}$. The corresponding expression in the chiral representation is given by $\Phi = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}\tilde{\Phi}$. From the fact that this transformation with $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}$ does not commute with complex conjugation, there arises a notational difficulty. For example, the complex conjugate $\mathscr{D}_\alpha$ of the chiral representation covariant derivative $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ is in the antichiral representation, while in the chiral representation it reads

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} D_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}H}\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Superdiffeomorphisms are generated by vector fields

$$\Lambda = \Lambda^a\partial_a + \Lambda^\alpha D_\alpha + \Lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \Lambda^{\alpha\beta}M_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \tag{3.4}$$

which are subject to certain constraints, such that they may be expressed in terms of a single parameter function $\Omega^\alpha(z)$ as

$$\Lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = i\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Omega^\alpha\,, \qquad \Lambda^\alpha = \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2\Omega^\alpha\,, \qquad \Lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{\Lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\Lambda_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}}\Lambda_{\dot{\beta})}\,, \qquad \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{\Lambda}_{\alpha\beta}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

The diffeomorphism transformation properties of the supergravity prepotentials are given by

$$\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\Lambda}\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\mathrm{e}^{-\bar{\Lambda}}\,, \qquad \phi^3 \longrightarrow \phi^3\mathrm{e}^{\overleftarrow{\Lambda_c}}\,, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\Lambda_c = \Lambda^a\partial_a + \Lambda^\alpha D_\alpha$. Super Weyl transformations are local scalings of the chiral compensator ϕ but leave H invariant,

$$\phi \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\sigma}\phi\,, \qquad H \longrightarrow H\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Superconformal transformations are now conveniently characterized as those combined Λ and Weyl transformations which leave the flat space choice $H = 0$, $J = 0$ invariant, i. e.

$$\sigma = -\tfrac{1}{12}\bar{D}^2D^\alpha\Omega_\alpha\,, \qquad \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Omega^\alpha = D^\alpha\bar{\Omega}^{\dot{\alpha}}\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Transformation properties of functionals such as the classical action or the vertex functional are most adequately expressed in terms of Ward identities. Local Ward operators are denoted by w, surrounded by some indices. The upper left index contains the fields which are to be transformed, e. g. ${}^{H}w$ or ${}^{J}w$ or ${}^{\mathrm{dyn}}w$ for the dynamical fields (as opposed to the background fields). The type of transformation is specified in the lower left position: ${}_{\Lambda}w$ for diffeomorphisms, ${}_{\sigma}w$ for Weyl transformations and ${}_{\Lambda\sigma}w$ for combined Λ and Weyl transformations. Integrated Ward operators are denoted by W and are defined by

$$ {}^{H}_{\Lambda}W\Gamma = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z\, \Omega^\alpha(z)\, {}^{H}_{\Lambda}w_\alpha(z)\Gamma + c.c. = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z\, \delta_\Lambda H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\, \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}} + c.c.\,. \tag{3.10} $$

Thus diffeomorphism invariance of the action Γ is expressed by

$$ \left({}^{H}_{\Lambda}w_\alpha + {}^{J}_{\Lambda}w_\alpha + {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda}w_\alpha \right) \Gamma = 0\,. \tag{3.11} $$

For Weyl transformations we include a general chiral breaking term S,

$$ \left({}^{J}_{\sigma}w + {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\sigma}w \right) \Gamma = -\tfrac{3}{2} S\,. \tag{3.12} $$

We may now combine Ward identities (3.11) and (3.12) by imposing the first of constraints (3.9), such that the inhomogeneous terms in the Λ and Weyl transformation of J cancel. This means that in the flat space limit there is no contribution from J. However, the inhomogeneous term in the local Ward operator for H,

$$ {}^{H}_{\Lambda}w^{\text{inhom}}_\alpha = \tfrac{1}{2}\bar D^{\dot\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}}\,, \tag{3.13} $$

is also present on flat superspace. We obtain

$$ {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}w_\alpha \Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar D^{\dot\alpha} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}} - \tfrac{1}{8} D_\alpha S\,, \tag{3.14} $$

which is exactly the S-type superconformal Ward identity since $V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = 8\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}}$. By differentiating once with respect to $H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ before restricting to flat space and by integrating with the parameter function $\Omega^\alpha_{\text{conf}}(z)$ for conformal transformations, one obtains the transformation properties of the supercurrent (see [3] for details),

$$ {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega_{\text{conf}})V_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z) = \delta V_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z) - \tfrac{3}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^6 z'\, \sigma(z') \frac{\delta S(z')}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)} - \tfrac{3}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^6 \bar z'\, \bar\sigma(z') \frac{\delta \bar S(z')}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)}\,, \tag{3.15} $$

$$ \delta V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = \Lambda V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} - \tfrac{3}{2}(\sigma + \bar\sigma) V_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\,, \tag{3.16} $$

$$ {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega) = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z\, \left(\Omega^\alpha(z)\, {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}w_\alpha(z) + \bar\Omega_{\dot\alpha}(z)\, {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}\bar w^{\dot\alpha}(z) \right)\,. \tag{3.17} $$

Here we have assumed that the dynamical fields transform independently of H, as is the case for chiral scalar fields. Otherwise additional terms may be present. Ω_{conf} and σ are the solutions of (3.9).

Next we aim at a curved space extension of the B formulation of the superconformal Ward identity. It turns out that this is achieved by using the curved space formalism of *new minimal supergravity* which is obtained from the *old minimal* formulation by introducing an additional external field L which is linear and real. We proceed in two steps. In the first step, a real external field without the linearity constraint is coupled to the breaking term S. As a by-product, this allows the calculation of the conformal transformation properties of S itself. In the second step, the linearity condition is employed. Since functional derivatives with respect to constrained fields are not well-defined, the linear field L is expressed in terms of a flat space chiral spinor field which turns out to couple directly to the B breaking.

3.2 Coupling of the Weyl breaking to an external field

We introduce an additional external field L which is restricted to be real. In the chiral representation this means

$$L = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \bar{L} \,, \tag{3.18}$$

thus L is subject to an H-dependent constraint and cannot be varied independently from H. It is therfore preferable to transform L back from the chiral to the real representation, i.e. to use

$$\tilde{L} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}H} L \tag{3.19}$$

instead of L as independent field. $\tilde{L}$ is a real field in the usual sense,

$$\tilde{L} = \bar{\tilde{L}} \,.$$

By definition L transforms under Λ transformations as a scalar field,

$$\delta_\Lambda L = \Lambda L \,, \tag{3.20}$$

which yields together with the transformation of H (A.1.2) the transformation of $\tilde{L}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\Lambda \tilde{L} &= \delta_\Lambda^{(0)} \tilde{L} + \delta_\Lambda^{(1)} \tilde{L} + O(H^2) &\text{(3.21a)}\\
\delta_\Lambda^{(0)} \tilde{L} &= \tfrac{1}{4} \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha} \Omega^\alpha \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} \tilde{L} + \tfrac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 \Omega^\alpha D_\alpha \tilde{L} + c.c. &\text{(3.21b)}\\
\delta_\Lambda^{(1)} \tilde{L} &= -\tfrac{1}{16} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} \bar{D}^{\dot\beta} \Omega^\beta \{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\} \tilde{L} - \tfrac{1}{8} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} \bar{D}^2 \Omega^\beta D_\beta \tilde{L} \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{16} \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} H^{\beta\dot\beta} \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha} \Omega^\alpha \{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\} \tilde{L} + c.c. &\text{(3.21c)}
\end{aligned}$$

The Weyl transformation of $\tilde{L}$ is defined as

$$\delta_\sigma \tilde{L} = -\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}H} \sigma + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H} \bar{\sigma}\right) \tilde{L} \,. \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.21) and (3.22) the Ward operators may be calculated

$$
{}^{\tilde{L}}_{\Lambda}w_{\alpha} = {}^{\tilde{L}}_{\Lambda}w^{(0)}_{\alpha} + {}^{\tilde{L}}_{\Lambda}w^{(1)}_{\alpha} + O(H^2) \tag{3.23}
$$

$$
{}^{\tilde{L}}_{\Lambda}w^{(0)}_{\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\{D_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\}\tilde{L}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{L}}\right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2\left(D_{\alpha}\tilde{L}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{L}}\right) \tag{3.24}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{\tilde{L}}_{\Lambda}w^{(1)}_{\alpha} = {}& \tfrac{1}{16}\{D_{\beta}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(H^{\beta\dot{\beta}}\{D_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\}\tilde{L}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{L}}\right) + \tfrac{1}{8}\{D_{\beta}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}\bar{D}^2\left(H^{\beta\dot{\beta}}D_{\alpha}\tilde{L}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{L}}\right) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{16}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\{D_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\}H^{\beta\dot{\beta}}\{D_{\beta}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}\tilde{L}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{L}}\right) + c.c.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}
$$

$$
{}^{\tilde{L}}_{\sigma}w = -\bar{D}^2\left(\left(\tilde{L}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{L}}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{\overline{H}}}\right) \tag{3.26}
$$

The new field $\tilde{L}$ is useful if it can be coupled in such a way that the theory under consideration becomes Weyl invariant up to purely geometrical terms. This is not always possible, a necessesary condition being R invariance of the original theory. Futhermore $\tilde{L}$ should be coupled such that for $\tilde{L} = 1$ we come back to the original theory.

Let's assume we have succeeded in introducing $\tilde{L}$ as requested. Then the following Ward identities hold:

$$
\left({}^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\Lambda}w_{\alpha} + {}^{HJ\tilde{L}}_{\Lambda}w_{\alpha}\right)\ \Gamma = 0 \tag{3.27a}
$$

$$
\left({}^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\sigma}w + {}^{J\tilde{L}}_{\sigma}w\right)\ \Gamma = -\tfrac{3}{2}S_{\mathrm{geom}}(H, J, \tilde{L})\,. \tag{3.27b}
$$

For $\tilde{L} = 1$ this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left({}^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\Lambda}w_{\alpha} + {}^{HJ}_{\Lambda}w_{\alpha}\right)\ \Gamma = 0 \\
&\quad\left({}^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\sigma}w + {}^{J}_{\sigma}w\right)\ \Gamma = -\tfrac{3}{2}S_{\mathrm{geom}}(H, J) + \bar{D}^2\left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{L}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{\overline{H}}}\right)\Bigg|_{\tilde{L}=1}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}
$$

This means that the dynamical anomalies of the original theory are now coupled to $\tilde{L}$,

$$
S_{\mathrm{dyn}}(\mathrm{dyn}, H, J) = -\tfrac{2}{3}\,\bar{D}^2\left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{L}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{\overline{H}}}\right)\Bigg|_{\tilde{L}=1}\,. \tag{3.29}
$$

Since the Weyl breaking is now controlled by $\tilde{L}$ we may confidently put $J = 0$ and accept the fusion of Λ and Weyl transformations according to (3.9),

$$
\left({}^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}w_{\alpha} + {}^{H\tilde{L}}_{\Lambda\sigma}w_{\alpha}\right)\ \Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{8}D_{\alpha}S_{\mathrm{geom}}(H, J, \tilde{L})\,. \tag{3.30}
$$

On flat space ($H = 0$, $\tilde{L} = 1$), the Ward identity reduces to

$$
{}^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}w_{\alpha}\,\Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} + \tfrac{1}{12}D_{\alpha}\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{L}}\,. \tag{3.31}
$$

3.3 Conformal transformation properties of the S breaking

Since flat space is restored at $\tilde{L} = 1$, it is convenient to use $\tilde{l} \equiv \log \tilde{L}$ instead of $\tilde{L}$, such that flat space corresponds to $\tilde{l} = 0$. The transformations of $\tilde{l}$ are given by

$$\delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda}\tilde{l} = \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha}\Omega^{\alpha}\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\}\tilde{l} + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2\Omega^{\alpha}D_\alpha\tilde{l} + c.c. \tag{3.32}$$

$$\delta_\sigma\tilde{l} = -\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}H}\sigma + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}\bar{\sigma}\right) \tag{3.33}$$

(3.29) translates into

$$S_{\mathrm{dyn}}(\mathrm{dyn}, H, J) = -\tfrac{2}{3}\,\bar{D}^2\left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H}}\right)\bigg|_{\tilde{l}=0} . \tag{3.34}$$

Ward operators for $\tilde{l}$ may simply be obtained from (3.23) - (3.26) by noting that $\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{L}} = \tilde{L}^{-1}\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{l}}$. By rewriting (3.27) in terms of $\tilde{l}$, forming the conformal Ward operator ${}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega_{\mathrm{conf}})$ and differentiating with respect to $\tilde{l}$, one finds the flat space transformation law of the S breaking.

Theorem 1. *In a model which is coupled to a real superfield $L = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}\mathrm{e}^{\tilde{l}}$ in a Weyl invariant way, the following Ward identities hold at $H = 0$, $\phi = 1$, $\tilde{l} = 0$:*

$$\overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{}}w_\alpha\Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}} + \tfrac{1}{12}D_\alpha\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}} \tag{3.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{}}W(\Omega_{\mathrm{conf}})\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}} &= (\Lambda_c - 3\sigma)\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}} \\ &\quad + \int\!\mathrm{d}^6z\,'\sigma(z')\bar{D}'^2\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}(z)\delta\tilde{l}(z')} + \int\!\mathrm{d}^6\bar{z}\,'\bar{\sigma}(z')D'^2\bar{D}^2\frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}(z)\delta\tilde{l}(z')}\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

One might expect a contribution to (3.36) from the geometrical anomalies in (3.27b). The only chiral term of dimension 3 containing only one field $\tilde{l}$ is given by

$$S_{\mathrm{geom}}(H, J, \tilde{l}) = c\bar{D}^2\Box\tilde{l} + O(\tilde{l}^2) + O(H) + O(J) . \tag{3.37}$$

Thus there is no contribution to (3.36) since

$$\int\!\mathrm{d}^6z\,\sigma c\bar{D}^2\Box\tilde{l} = c\int\!\mathrm{d}^8z\,\Box\sigma\,\tilde{l} = 0 . \tag{3.38}$$

3.4 B formulation

A preliminary version of the B formulation may be obtained already at this point. We use the relation

$$D_\alpha\bar{D}^2 = 2\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}] - 3\bar{D}^2D_\alpha$$

to rewrite S_{dyn} as

$$\tfrac{1}{8}D_\alpha S_{\text{dyn}}(\text{dyn}, H=0) = \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha}\left(\tfrac{1}{3}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}]\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}}\right) - \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}}\,. \tag{3.39}$$

It seems obvious to interpret the first term on the right hand side of (3.39) as a contribution to the supercurrent while the second term has the properties of a B breaking:

$$V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = 8\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}} - \tfrac{1}{3}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}]\frac{\delta}{\delta\tilde{l}}\right)\Gamma \tag{3.40}$$

$$B_\alpha = -4\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}}\,. \tag{3.41}$$

Using these definitions, (3.35) becomes

$${}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}w_\alpha\Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{16}\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha}V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{16}B_\alpha\,. \tag{3.42}$$

Furthermore, B_α as given by (3.41) obeys the constraint (2.6).

3.5 Example: Classical O'Raifeartaigh model

As a simple example we consider the classical O'Raifeartaigh model without spontaneous symmetry breaking. This model describes three chiral fields A_0, A_1, A_2, the flat space action being given by

$$\Gamma = \tfrac{1}{16}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\int \mathrm{d}^8z\, A_k\bar{A}_k + \left(\int \mathrm{d}^6z\,\left(\tfrac{m}{4}A_1A_2 + \tfrac{g}{32}A_0A_1^2\right) + c.c.\right)\,. \tag{3.43}$$

On (old minimal) curved superspace this becomes

$$\Gamma = \tfrac{1}{16}\int \mathrm{d}^8z\, E^{-1}A_k\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A}_k + \left(\int \mathrm{d}^6z\,\phi^3\left(\tfrac{m}{4}A_1A_2 + \tfrac{g}{32}A_0A_1^2\right) + c.c.\right)\,. \tag{3.44}$$

The Weyl transformation of the dynamical fields is defined as

$$A_0 \to \mathrm{e}^{-3\sigma}A_0\,, \qquad A_1 \to A_1\,, \qquad A_2 \to \mathrm{e}^{-3\sigma}A_2\,. \tag{3.45}$$

The Weyl weights are chosen to fit the non-conformal R-weights $n_0 = n_2 = -2$, $n_1 = 0$ of A_0, A_2 and A_1 (see [2]). Our formalism automatically incorporates general superconformal transformations with dilatational weights $d_i = -\tfrac{3}{2}n_i$ different from the canonical dimension 1 of the fields.

Now we introduce the real superfield $\tilde{L}$. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma = \tfrac{1}{16}\int \mathrm{d}^8z\, E^{-1}\left(A_0\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A}_0L^{-2} + A_1\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A}_1L + A_2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A}_2L^{-2}\right)&\\ + \left(\int \mathrm{d}^6z\,\left(\tfrac{m}{4}A_1A_2 + \tfrac{g}{32}A_0A_1^2\right) + c.c.\right)&\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

is Weyl invariant. The field $L = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}\tilde{L}$ has been used in the chiral representation as an abbreviation. However it shall be understood that $\tilde{l}$ is viewed as independent field. Furthermore we have put $J = 0$. Now the Ward identity

$$ {}^{A_k}_{\Lambda\sigma}w\Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{16}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}V^{B}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \tfrac{1}{16}B_\alpha \tag{3.47}$$

holds, and $V^{B}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and B_α may be calculated using (3.40), (3.41),

$$V^{B}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_k (n_k+1)D_\alpha A_k \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{A}_k + \tfrac{1}{4}\sum_k n_k(-A_k D_\alpha \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{A}_k + \bar{A}_k \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} D_\alpha A_k) \tag{3.48}$$

$$B_\alpha = \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha \left(2A_0\bar{A}_0 - A_1\bar{A}_1 + 2A_2\bar{A}_2\right) . \tag{3.49}$$

This coincides with the known B type Ward identity in [2].

The O'Raifeartaigh model is also well suited to check (3.36). The S breaking is given by

$$S = -\tfrac{2}{3}\;\bar{D}^2 \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}}\bigg|_{\tilde{l}=0} = -\tfrac{1}{24}\bar{D}^2\left(-2A_0\bar{A}_0 + A_1\bar{A}_1 - 2A_2\bar{A}_2\right) . \tag{3.50}$$

According to (3.36), S transforms as

$$ {}^{A_k}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega_{\mathrm{conf}})S = \Lambda_c S - \tfrac{1}{24}\bar{D}^2\left((-5\sigma - 2\bar{\sigma})(-2A_0\bar{A}_0 - 2A_2\bar{A}_2) + (-2\sigma + \bar{\sigma})(A_1\bar{A}_1)\right) , \tag{3.51}$$

which may be independently checked by applying the transformation laws of A_0, A_1, A_2 on (3.50).

3.6 B formulation and new minimal supergravity

So far the B formulation has the disadvantage that the B-type supercurrent doesn't couple directly to $H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ but is rather given by a combination of functional derivatives with respect to $H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\tilde{l}$ (3.40). Even worse, B_α depends only on some components of $\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}}$ while other components are actually not needed. Both drawbacks may be fixed by restricting L to be a real linear superfield. By imposing this restriction we end up in a background of new minimal supergravity. For the formalism of new minimal supergravity, see [7, 8, 9].

There is however the technical complication that it is not possible to functionally differentiate with respect to a linear superfield. Thus the linearity constraint has to be solved by expressing L in terms of unconstrained fields. As a first step, L is expressed in terms of a real flat linear superfield L_0. Again, we cast L into the real representation $\tilde{L} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}H}L$. We have to solve the equation (for $J = 0$)

$$0 = (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}\tilde{L} = \bar{D}^2\left(E^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}\tilde{L}\right) . \tag{3.52}$$

One might be tempted to identify L_0 with the term in brackets on the right hand side of this equation, however this expression is not real. A real expression for L_0 is obtained by the following manipulations,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \bar{D}^2 \left(E^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H} \tilde{L} \right) = \bar{D}^2 \left((\tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{L})\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H}} \right) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} \bar{D}^2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{n!} \{ D^\alpha, \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha} \} \left(H_{\alpha\dot\alpha} (\tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{L}) (\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H})^{n-1} \right) \right) \\ &= \bar{D}^2 \left((\tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{L}) \cosh(\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H}) + \tfrac{1}{2} \left((\tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{L}) \frac{\sinh(\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H})}{\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H}} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \right) \overleftarrow{[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}]} \right) , \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

where $\tilde{E}^{-1} = E^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H}}$ is the inverse vierbein determinant in the real representation. $\cosh(\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H})$ is real because $\cosh(x)$ contains only even powers of x. The same is true for $\sinh(x)/x$. Since furthermore $\tilde{E}$, $\tilde{L}$ and $[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}]$ are real, a suitable definition of L_0 is

$$L_0 \equiv (\tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{L}) \left(\cosh(\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H}) + \tfrac{1}{2} \frac{\sinh(\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H})}{\mathrm{i}\overleftarrow{H}} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \circ \overleftarrow{[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}]} \right) . \tag{3.54}$$

L_0 is restricted by

$$L_0 = \bar{L}_0 \,, \qquad \bar{D}^2 L_0 = 0 \,. \tag{3.55}$$

The second step is to solve this constraint by

$$L_0 = \tfrac{1}{2} \left(D^\alpha \eta_\alpha + \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} \bar{\eta}^{\dot\alpha} \right) , \tag{3.56}$$

where η_α is a flat chiral spinor superfield, $\bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} \eta_\alpha = 0$. Thus the real, curved space linear superfield L is now expressed in terms of H and the flat space chiral spinor fields η_α, $\bar{\eta}_{\dot\alpha}$,

$$L = L(H, \eta, \bar{\eta}) \,. \tag{3.57}$$

η_α, $\bar{\eta}_{\dot\alpha}$ are not subject to any further constraints and are thus well suited as independent fields to formulate Ward identities with[3].

By expressing L_0 in terms of η, $\bar{\eta}$, an additional gauge invariance has occurred: The replacement

$$\eta_\alpha \to \eta_\alpha + \mathrm{i} \bar{D}^2 D_\alpha K \,, \qquad \bar{\eta}_{\dot\alpha} \to \bar{\eta}_{\dot\alpha} - \mathrm{i} D^2 \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} K \qquad \text{with } K = \bar{K} \tag{3.58}$$

leaves L_0 invariant. We denote this invariance as *K-gauge invariance.*

To further evaluate the connection between $\tilde{L}$ and L_0, we decompose the differential operator which acts on $\tilde{L}$ in (3.54) corresponding to the order in H,

$$L_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y^{(n)} \, \tilde{L} \qquad (Y^{(0)} = 1) \,. \tag{3.59}$$

---

[3] Chiral superfields are essentially unconstrained because they live on the smaller superspace with integration measure $\mathrm{d}^6 z = \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \mathrm{d}^2 \theta$.

The inverse operator is written as

$$\tilde{L} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} X^{(n)} L_0 \qquad (X^{(0)} = 1) \,. \tag{3.60}$$

The connection between $Y^{(n)}$ and $X^{(n)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(1)} &= -X^{(1)} \\ Y^{(2)} &= -X^{(2)} + X^{(1)} X^{(1)} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

By expanding (3.54) in a power series in H, one finds

$$X^{(1)} L_0 = \tfrac{1}{6}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}] H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} L_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}](H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} L_0) \tag{3.61}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(2)} L_0 = &-\tfrac{1}{4}\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} \left(H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\} H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0 \right) + \tfrac{1}{9}\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\} H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0 \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{6} \bar{D}_{\dot\beta} D_\beta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} D_\alpha \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0 - \tfrac{1}{8} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} H^{\beta\dot\beta} \{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\} L_0 \\ &- \tfrac{1}{8} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} H^{\beta\dot\beta} \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\}\{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\} L_0 - \tfrac{1}{6} \bar{D}_{\dot\beta} H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} D_\beta H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0 \\ &- \tfrac{1}{6} D_\beta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} \{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} \bar{D}_{\dot\beta} H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0 + \tfrac{1}{4}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}] \left(H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} [D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}](H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0) \right) \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{36}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}] H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} [D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}] H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0 - \tfrac{1}{12}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}] H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} [D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot\beta}](H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0) \\ &+ \tfrac{1}{18} \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} D_\alpha H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} D_\beta \bar{D}_{\dot\beta} H^{\beta\dot\beta} L_0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

(3.61) coincides with a first-order field redefinition suggested in [8]. Furthermore, (3.54) is similar but not identical to a useful field redefinition in abelian gauge theory [6, 8].

Next, the transformation properties of L_0 have to be determined. Clearly,

$$\delta_{\Lambda\sigma} L_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}^{H}_{\Lambda}W\left(Y^{(n)}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} X^{(m)} L_0 \; + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y^{(n)} \left(\delta_{\Lambda\sigma} \tilde{L}\right)_{\tilde{L}=\sum\limits_m X^{(m)} L_0} \,, \tag{3.63}$$

with $\delta_{\Lambda\sigma}\tilde{L}$ given by the sum of (3.21) and (3.22). To zeroth order in H this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda\sigma} L_0 &= {}^{H}_{\Lambda}W^{(0)}\left(Y^{(1)}\right) L_0 + \left(\delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda\sigma}\tilde{L}\right)_{\tilde{L}=L_0} \\ &= -\tfrac{1}{4} D^\alpha \bar{D}^2 \left(\Omega_\alpha L_0\right) - \tfrac{1}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} D^2 \left(\bar{\Omega}^{\dot\alpha} L_0\right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

To first order in H, (3.63) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda\sigma} L_0 = {}&{}^{H}_{\Lambda}W^{(0)}\left(Y^{(1)}\right) X^{(1)} L_0 \; + \; {}^{H}_{\Lambda}W^{(1)}\left(Y^{(1)}\right) L_0 \; + \; {}^{H}_{\Lambda}W^{(0)}\left(Y^{(2)}\right) L_0 \\ &+ \left(\delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda\sigma}\tilde{L}\right)_{\tilde{L}=X^{(1)}L_0} + \left(\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda\sigma}\tilde{L}\right)_{\tilde{L}=L_0} + Y^{(1)} \left(\delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda\sigma}\tilde{L}\right)_{\tilde{L}=L_0} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

The explicit expression for $\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda\sigma} L_0$ is lengthy and is therefore not displayed.

Since it is not possible to functionally differentiate with respect to a linear field, Ward identities cannot be formulated in terms of L_0. Instead, η_α has to be used. In order to find the transformation properties of η_α, $\delta_{\Lambda\sigma} L_0$ has to be rewritten as

$$\delta_{\Lambda\sigma} L_0 = \tfrac{1}{2} \left(D^\alpha \left(\delta_{\Lambda\sigma} \eta_\alpha \right) + \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha} \left(\delta_{\Lambda\sigma} \bar\eta^{\dot\alpha} \right) \right) . \tag{3.66}$$

$\delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda\sigma} L_0$ in (3.64) already has this form, and it follows

$$\delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda\sigma} \eta_\alpha = -\tfrac{1}{2} \bar{D}^2 (\Omega_\alpha L_0) \, , \qquad \delta^{(0)}_{\Lambda\sigma} \bar\eta_{\dot\alpha} = -\tfrac{1}{2} D^2 (\bar\Omega_{\dot\alpha} L_0) \, , \tag{3.67}$$

where L_0 is given by (3.56). For $\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda\sigma} \eta_\alpha$ we content ourselves with the inhomogeneous part, i.e. the transformation at $L_0 = 1$. After tedious calculations one finds

$$\delta^{(1)}_{\Lambda\sigma} \eta_\alpha \Big|_{L_0 = 1} = \bar{D}^2 \left(-\tfrac{1}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot\beta} D_\beta H^{\beta\dot\beta} \Omega_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{4} H^{\beta\dot\beta} D_\beta \bar{D}_{\dot\beta} \Omega_\alpha - \tfrac{1}{8} H_{\alpha\dot\beta} D^2 \bar\Omega^{\dot\beta} + \tfrac{1}{4} D_\beta H^{\beta\dot\beta} D_\alpha \bar\Omega_{\dot\beta} \right) . \tag{3.68}$$

If we start from an action functional in which L is coupled in a Weyl invariant way (up to geometrical breaking terms), Weyl invariance is of course still present when L is expressed in terms of η_α, i.e. the following Ward identity holds:

$$\left(\overset{\text{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{w}}{}_\alpha(z) + \overset{H}{\underset{\Lambda}{w}}{}_\alpha(z) + \overset{\eta\bar\eta}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{w}}{}_\alpha(z) \right) \Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{8} D_\alpha S_{\text{geom}}(z) \, . \tag{3.69}$$

(3.69) is the basic Ward identity for a field theory coupled to the background fields of new minimal supergravity, analogous to equation (3.12) for the old minimal formulation. In the flat space limit $H = 0$, $L_0 = 1$, (3.69) reads

$$\overset{\text{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{w}}{}_\alpha \Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{2} \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}} + \tfrac{1}{2} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \eta^\alpha} \, , \tag{3.70}$$

which reproduces the B-type superconformal Ward identity (2.5b) if we identify the B-type supercurrent $V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ and the B breaking B_α with

$$V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = 8 \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}} \, , \tag{3.71}$$

$$B_\alpha = 8 \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \eta^\alpha} \, . \tag{3.72}$$

Thus we have found a curved space formalism which reduces to the B-type Ward identity

$$\overset{\text{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{w}}{}_\alpha \Gamma_{\text{cl}} = -\tfrac{1}{16} \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha} V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{16} B_\alpha \tag{3.73}$$

in the flat space limit, and in which the supercurrent and the breaking are directly coupled to external fields $H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ and η^α. Transformation properties of $V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ and B_α may be obtained by functional differentiation of (3.69) with respect to $H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ and η^α. The results are collected in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *In a model which is coupled to a real linear superfield L in a Weyl invariant way, the following Ward identities hold at $H=0$, $\phi=1$, $L=1$:*

$$ {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}w_\alpha\Gamma = -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}} + \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\alpha} \tag{3.74}$$

$$ D^\alpha\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\alpha(z)} = \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar\eta_{\dot\alpha}(z)} \tag{3.75}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega_{\text{conf}})\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)} &= \delta\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\Omega^\beta(z')\frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\beta(z')\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)} \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\bar\Omega_{\dot\beta}(z')\frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\bar\eta_{\dot\beta}(z')\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)} \end{aligned}\tag{3.76}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\text{dyn}}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega_{\text{conf}})\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\alpha(z)} &= \delta\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\alpha(z)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\Omega^\beta(z')\frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\beta(z')\delta\eta^\alpha(z)} \\ &\quad + \int \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\bar\Omega_{\dot\beta}(z')\frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\bar\eta_{\dot\beta}(z')\delta\eta^\alpha(z)} \end{aligned}\tag{3.77}$$

with

$$ \delta\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)} = \left(\Lambda - \tfrac{3}{2}(\sigma+\bar\sigma)\right)\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)} \tag{3.78}$$

$$ \delta\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\alpha(z)} = \left(\Lambda - \tfrac{3}{2}\sigma\right)\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\eta^\alpha(z)}\,. \tag{3.79}$$

$\delta^{(1)}\eta_\alpha$ does not occur in (3.76) because $\frac{\delta}{\delta H^{\alpha\dot\alpha}}\int \mathrm{d}^8z\,\Omega^\alpha\,{}^{\eta}_{\Lambda\sigma}w^{(1)}_\alpha$ vanishes for $\Omega=\Omega_{\text{conf}}$ as may be seen from (3.68). The discussion following theorem 1 also applies to (3.77), thus there is no contribution from geometrical anomalies. (3.79) also includes the Lorentz transformation of the index α.

(3.75) expresses the K-gauge invariance (3.58) and shows that B_α as defined by (3.72) satisfies the usual constraint (2.6b) on the B breaking,

$$ D^\alpha B_\alpha - \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\bar{B}^{\dot\alpha} = 0\,. \tag{3.80}$$

3.7 B-type supercurrent and energy-momentum tensor

Energy-momentum tensor, supersymmetry current and R current have been given in terms of supercurrent components already in section 2. Now we reconsider the component currents from the point of view of new minimal curved superspace.

In the integrated B-type superconformal Ward identity

$$\int \Omega^\alpha \overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{w}}{}_\alpha \Gamma + c.c. = -\tfrac{1}{16}\int \mathrm{d}^8 z\ \left(\Omega^\alpha \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha} V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \bar\Omega_{\dot\alpha} D^\alpha V_\alpha{}^{\dot\alpha} - \Omega^\alpha B_\alpha - \bar\Omega_{\dot\alpha}\bar{B}^{\dot\alpha}\right)\ ,$$

there is no contribution from the B breaking if

$$\Omega^\alpha(z) = D^\alpha \omega(z)\,, \quad \omega = -\bar\omega \qquad \text{or} \qquad \bar{D}^2\Omega^\alpha(z) = 0\,. \tag{3.81}$$

Since we have super Poincaré and R invariance, the corresponding flat space transformation parameters can be written in this form, while for K, D and S transformations this is not possible,

$$\Omega^\alpha_{\mathrm{conf}} = D^\alpha\omega + \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\omega^{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \theta^\alpha\bar\theta^2\left(\tfrac{1}{2}d + k^a x_a\right) - 2\mathrm{i}\theta^2\bar\theta^2 s^\alpha \tag{3.82}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\omega = {} & \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\,\theta\sigma_a\bar\theta\left[c\,t^a - (\omega^{ab}-\omega^{ba})x_b + dx^a - k^a x^2 + 2k^b x_b x^a\right] \\ & - \bar\theta^2\theta^\alpha\left[q_\alpha - \sigma^a_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\bar{s}^{\dot\alpha}x_a\right] + \theta^2\bar\theta_{\dot\alpha}\left[\bar{q}^{\dot\alpha} + \sigma^{\alpha\dot\alpha}s_\alpha x_a\right] \\ & - \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta^2\bar\theta^2 r\,, \end{aligned}\tag{3.83}$$

$$\omega^{\alpha\dot\alpha} = -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\bar\theta^2\sigma_a^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\left((2-c)t^a - (\omega^{ab}-\omega^{ba})x_b + dx^a - k^a x^2 + 2k^b x_b x^a\right) \tag{3.84}$$

where t^a, q^α, ω^{ab}, r, d, k^a, s^α are the parameters of translations, susy transformations, Lorentz transformations, R transformations, dilatations, special conformal and special supersymmetry transformations respectively. c is an arbitrary parameter which reflects the fact that translations may be represented in any of the forms $\Omega^\alpha = D^\alpha\omega$ or $\bar{D}^2\Omega^\alpha = 0$. In order to define currents R_a, $Q_{a\alpha}$ and T_{ab} in terms of supercurrent components only, we have to specify localized versions of translations, R and supersymmetry transformations such that (3.81) holds. Obviously, it suffices to make the parameters t_a, q_α and r in (3.83), (3.84) x-dependent and stay with (3.82),

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^\alpha = {} & D^\alpha\left(\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\theta\sigma^a\bar\theta c\,t_a(x) - \bar\theta^2\theta^\alpha q_\alpha(x) + \theta^2\bar\theta_{\dot\alpha}\bar{q}^{\dot\alpha}(x) - \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta^2\bar\theta^2 r(x)\right) \\ & + \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\left(-\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\bar\theta^2\sigma_a^{\alpha\dot\alpha}(2-c)t^a(x)\right)\ . \end{aligned}\tag{3.85}$$

The Ward operators and conserved currents are then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\int\mathrm{d}^8 z\ \left(\Omega^\alpha \overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{w}}{}_\alpha + \bar\Omega_{\dot\alpha}\overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{\Lambda\sigma}{\bar{w}}}{}^{\dot\alpha}\right) \equiv \int\mathrm{d}^4 x\ \Big(& t^a(x)\overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{P}{w}}{}_a(x) \\ & + q^\alpha(x)\overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{Q}{w}}{}_\alpha(x) + \bar{q}_{\dot\alpha}(x)\overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{\bar{Q}}{w}}{}^{\dot\alpha}(x) \\ & + r(x)\overset{\mathrm{dyn}}{\underset{R}{w}}(x)\Big) \end{aligned}\tag{3.86}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\tfrac{1}{32}\int\mathrm{d}^8 z\ \left(\Omega^\alpha\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha}V_{\alpha\dot\alpha} - \bar\Omega_{\dot\alpha}D_\alpha V^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\right) \equiv \int\mathrm{d}^4 x\ \Big(& t^a(x)\partial^b T_{ab} \\ & + q^\alpha(x)\partial^b Q_{b\alpha} + \bar{q}_{\dot\alpha}(x)\partial^b\bar{Q}_b{}^{\dot\alpha} \\ & + r(x)\partial^b R_b(x)\Big)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.87}$$

(3.87) together with (3.85) yields the component currents

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{ab}(x) &= -\tfrac{1}{8}\left((2-c)v_{ab} + c\, v_{ba}(x)\right) \,, && (3.88)\\
Q_{a\alpha}(x) &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\, \chi_{a\alpha}(x) && (3.89)\\
R_a(x) &= \tfrac{1}{2} C_a(x)\,, && (3.90)
\end{aligned}
$$

where v_{ab}, $\chi_{a\alpha}$ and C_a are the supercurrent components as defined in (2.4). We may choose $c = 1$ in order to get a symmetric energy momentum tensor

$$T_{ab} = -\tfrac{1}{4} v_{(ab)}\,.$$

This reproduces the currents (2.3) up to trivial factors.

Since the energy momentum tensor is given directly by the $\theta\bar{\theta}$ component of the supercurrent, the mechanism of its coupling to the vierbein should be quite different from the S formulation. In new minimal supergravity we have $J = 0$, and the remaining background fields may in a Wess-Zumino gauge be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^b &= \theta\sigma^a\bar{\theta} h_a{}^b - \mathrm{i}\bar{\theta}^2\theta^\alpha \Psi^b{}_\alpha + \mathrm{i}\theta^2\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Psi}^{b\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 A^b && (3.91)\\
\eta_\alpha &= \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta\sigma^a\bar{\theta}\partial_a}\theta^\beta \eta_{\alpha\beta}(x) \qquad \text{with } \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\beta\alpha}\,. && (3.92)
\end{aligned}
$$

The metric tensor is given in terms of the linearized vierbein h^{ab} by

$$g_{mn} = \eta_{mn} - 2h_{(mn)} + O(h^2)\,. \tag{3.93}$$

Since h_{ab} occurs only in H^b, it clearly couples directly to the $\theta\bar{\theta}$-component of V_b, i.e. to the non-symmetric energy momentum tensor (3.88). Correspondingly, $\Psi_a{}^\alpha$ couples to $Q^a{}_\alpha$ and A_a to R^a. Using (3.93), we obtain the *off-shell* expressions for the currents

$$T_{(mn)} = -2\, \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta g^{mn}}\bigg|_{\text{flat space}}\,, \quad Q_{a\alpha} = 2\, \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\Psi^{a\alpha}}\bigg|_{\text{flat space}}\,, \quad R_a = \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A^a}\bigg|_{\text{flat space}}\,. \tag{3.94}$$

Though the definition of T_{ab}, $Q_{a\alpha}$ and R_a in terms of supercurrent components is different in the S and B cases, the respective currents always couple correctly to the component background fields. In the S case, however, the coupling relations hold only on-shell [5].

The Wess-Zumino gauge expression (3.92) for η_α translates into a corresponding expression for L_0,

$$L_0 = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(D^\alpha\eta_\alpha + \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\eta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right) = \theta\sigma^b\bar{\theta}\,\partial^a B_{ab} \tag{3.95}$$

with

$$B_{ab} = -\tfrac{1}{4}(\sigma_{ab})_\alpha{}^\beta \eta^\alpha{}_\beta + c.c.\,. \tag{3.96}$$

In order to clarify the role of the antisymmetric tensor field B_{ab}, we consider the antisymmetric part of the θ-component of the superconformal Ward identity (3.74),

$$(\sigma^{ab})^{\alpha\beta} D_{(\beta} w_{\alpha)} \Gamma\big|_{\theta=0} + c.c. = -4 \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta h_{[ab]}} + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abcd}\partial_c \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A^d} - \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta B_{ab}} \,. \tag{3.97}$$

This shows that on-shell, B_{ab} does not couple to an independent field. (3.97) rather expresses an invariance with respect to a kind of shift in the external fields, similar to the *shift identity* considered in [10]. In [10], the new minimal supergravity fields ${e_a}^m$, ${\Psi_m}^\alpha$ and B_{mn} are used as background fields for a Yang-Mills theory in the component approach on tree level. Since, however, all transformations are formulated as BRS transformations with corresponding ghost fields, a concise comparison seems difficult. Most likely, the two approaches – if they are both formulated in the BRS language and for the same model – are equivalent in the sense that the Slavnov-Taylor identities coincide at least modulo equations of motion.

L_0 is invariant under the K-gauge transformation (3.58) which reads in the Wess-Zumino gauge

$$\delta B_{ab} = \partial_b f_a - \partial_a f_b \,. \tag{3.98}$$

This invariance gives rise to the Ward identity

$$\partial^a \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta B^{ab}}(x) = 0 \,. \tag{3.99}$$

From (3.97) we deduce that on-shell this means

$$0 = \partial^a \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta B^{ab}} = 4\partial^a \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta h^{[ab]}} = 4\partial^a T_{[ab]} \,. \tag{3.100}$$

This shows again that the antisymmetric part of the energy-momentum tensor is separately conserved, corresponding to the fact that the parameter Ω^α (3.85) with $c = 1$ which leads to a symmetric energy momentum tensor, consists of two parts. One part fulfills $\bar{D}^2\Omega^\alpha = 0$, and the conservation of the corresponding part of the energy momentum tensor is due to the chirality of B_α. The second part has the form $\Omega^\alpha = D^\alpha\omega$. Its contribution to the energy momentum tensor is conserved because of the K gauge Ward identity (3.58). Thus the existence of a conserved *symmetric* energy momentum tensor originates from (3.58), which in the Wess-Zumino gauge is expressed by (3.99).

4 Quantized Wess-Zumino model

It has been shown in [3] that the massless Wess-Zumino model can be quantized in an R-invariant way. Since, however, this R-invariance is only manifest in the B formulation, we would like to apply the formalism of the previous section to the Wess-Zumino model. The classical action for the massless Wess-Zumino model on flat space is given by

$$\Gamma_{\rm cl} = \tfrac{1}{16}\int\!{\rm d}^8z\, A\bar{A} + \tfrac{g}{48}\int\!{\rm d}^6z\, A^3 + \tfrac{g}{48}\int\!{\rm d}^6\bar{z}\, \bar{A}^3\,. \tag{4.1}$$

For quantization we use BPHZ renormalization in its generalization to massless theories by Lowenstein and Zimmermann [11]. Thus we have to include an auxiliary mass term

$$\tfrac{1}{8}M(s-1)\int\!{\rm d}^6z\, A^2 + \tfrac{1}{8}M(s-1)\int\!{\rm d}^6\bar{z}\, \bar{A}^2\,, \tag{4.2}$$

which breaks superconformal invariance resp. – on curved space – Weyl invariance. The parameter s takes part in the BPHZ subtractions like an external momentum. Therefore the limit $s=1$ may not be taken naively, but we have to use a Zimmermann identity instead,

$$[M(s-1)A^2]\cdot\Gamma\big|_{s=1} = \sum_\Delta u_\Delta[\Delta]\cdot\Gamma\big|_{s=1}\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Here, the sum extends over all possible insertions of dimension 3 except for the mass term iteslf. Γ is the vertex functional, i.e. the generating functional for 1PI Green functions, and the symbol $[\Delta]\cdot\Gamma$ denotes an insertion of the composite operator $[\Delta]$ which is defined by Zimmermann's normal product algorithm [12]. Equation (4.3) is the source of superconformal anomalies.

The most general diffeomorphism and parity invariant effective action (in the sense of Zimmermann) on old minimal curved superspace is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\rm eff} = \tfrac{1}{16}\,\hat{z}\,I_{\rm kin} \,-\, \tfrac{1}{8}\,\big(I_M+\bar{I}_M\big) \,+\, \tfrac{1}{48}\,\hat{g}\,\big(I_g+\bar{I}_g\big) \,+\, \tfrac{1}{8}\,\hat{\xi}\,\big(I_\xi+\bar{I}_\xi\big)\\ +\,\tfrac{1}{8}\,\hat{\lambda}_1\,\big(I_1+\bar{I}_1\big) \,+\, \tfrac{1}{8}\,\hat{\lambda}_2\,\big(I_2+\bar{I}_2\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rm kin} &= \int\!{\rm d}^8z\, E^{-1}A\,{\rm e}^{2iH}\bar{A} & I_g &= \int\!{\rm d}^6z\,\phi^3A^3\\ I_M &= \int\!{\rm d}^6z\,\phi^3\,M(s-1)A^2 & I_\xi &= \int\!{\rm d}^6z\,\phi^3RA^2\\ I_1 &= \int\!{\rm d}^6z\,\phi^3R^2A & I_2 &= \int\!{\rm d}^8z\,E^{-1}A\,{\rm e}^{2iH}\bar{R}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

The dynamical fields $A, \bar{A}$ are quantized, whereas the background fields H, J, $\bar{J}$ are treated as classical, i.e. non-propagating. The counterterm coefficients $\hat{z}$, $\hat{g}$, $\hat{\xi}$, $\hat{\lambda}_1$ and $\hat{\lambda}_2$ are power series in $\hbar$. $\hat{z}$ and $\hat{g}$ are fixed by the normalization conditions

$$\Gamma_{A\bar{A}}\Big|_{p^2=-\mu^2,s=1,\theta=0} = \tfrac{1}{16}\,,\qquad {\partial_{\theta_1}}^2{\partial_{\theta_2}}^2\Gamma_{AAA}\Big|_{p^2=q^2=(p+q)^2=-\mu^2,s=1,\theta=0} = \tfrac{1}{8}\,g\,, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{kin}} = \phi^3 A \left(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R\right) \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \bar{A} & \mathscr{L}_g = \phi^3 A^3 \\
\mathscr{L}_M = \phi^3 A^2 & \mathscr{L}_\Box = \phi^3 \left(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R\right) \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \mathscr{D}^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}H} A \\
\mathscr{L}_\xi = \phi^3 R A^2 & \mathscr{L}'_\xi = \phi^3 \left(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R\right) \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \bar{A}^2 \\
\mathscr{L}_1 = \phi^3 R^2 A & \mathscr{L}'_1 = \phi^3 \left(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R\right) \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} (\bar{A}\bar{R}) \\
\mathscr{L}_2 = \phi^3 \left(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R\right) \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} (\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R}) \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}H} A & \mathscr{L}'_2 = \phi^3 R \left(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R\right) \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \bar{A} \, ,
\end{array}$$

Table 4.1: Local Field Monomials

where an index A means functional differentiation with respect to A. The remaining counterterm coefficients are determined by demanding R invariance of the vertex functional. This R invariant theory has been considered in detail in [3, 5]. In particular, it has been shown that the dynamical superconformal anomalies may be parametrized by the β and γ functions. However, the theory is not invariant under R transformations involving an anomalous dimension γ. Since we would like to apply the formalism of the previous section which relies partly on R invariance, it is favourable here not to introduce an anomalous dimension. The Weyl Ward identity then reads (using the notations of [3])

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_\sigma w(z)\Gamma\big|_{s=1} &= -\tfrac{3}{2}[S(z)] \cdot \Gamma\big|_{s=1} - \tfrac{3}{2} S_{\mathrm{geom}}(z) \, , && (4.7) \\
-\tfrac{3}{2} S &= -\tfrac{1}{8} u_{\mathrm{kin}} \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{kin}} - \tfrac{1}{16}(u_\xi + u'_\xi)(\mathscr{L}_\xi + \mathscr{L}'_\xi) \\
&\quad - \tfrac{1}{16}(u_1 + u'_1)(\mathscr{L}_1 + \mathscr{L}'_1) - \tfrac{1}{16}(u_2 + u'_2)(\mathscr{L}_2 + \mathscr{L}'_2) - \tfrac{1}{8} u_\Box \mathscr{L}_\Box \, . && (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathscr{L}$-terms are collected in table 4.1. The Zimmermann coefficients u are related to to the counterterm coefficients $\hat{\xi}$, $\hat{\lambda}_1$, $\hat{\lambda}_2$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\xi} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(u'_\xi - u_\xi) \, , \\
\hat{\lambda}_1 &= \tfrac{1}{4}(u'_1 - u_1) \, , \\
\hat{\lambda}_2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}(u_2 - u'_2) \, .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

We proceed by introducing an additional external field L into the model in the way described in section 3.2. For the classical theory there exists only the trivial solution which has zero mass and doesn't depend on L at all. For the quantized model, however, it is a non-trivial problem to establish the Weyl invariant coupling of L.

4.1 Weyl invariant coupling of *L*

When we introduce an additional external field, the effective action comprises all diffeomorphism and parity invariant terms of dimension 3 which can be built from the dynamical

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(n)}_{\mathrm{kin}} &= \int \mathrm{d}^8z\, E^{-1} A \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \bar{A}\, l^n & \qquad I^{(n)}_{\xi} &= \int \mathrm{d}^8z\, E^{-1} A^2\, l^n \\ I_M &= \int \mathrm{d}^6z\, \phi^3 M(s-1) A^2 & \qquad I_g &= \int \mathrm{d}^6z\, \phi^3 A^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{kin}} &= \phi^3(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(A \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \bar{A}\, l^n) & & \\ \mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\xi} &= \phi^3(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(A^2\, l^n) & \qquad \mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\xi} &= \phi^3(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(l^n\, \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H} \bar{A}^2) \\ \mathscr{L}_M &= M(s-1)\phi^3 A^2 & \qquad \mathscr{L}_g &= \phi^3 A^3 \end{aligned}$$

Table 4.2: Local and integrated field monomials of order ≥ 2 in A, $\bar{A}$

fields A, $\bar{A}$ and from H, J and L. Since L is dimensionless, there are several infinite towers of terms involving L^n. In order to keep the discussion digestible, we decompose the effective action into three parts,

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{eff}} = \Gamma^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\mathrm{eff}} + \Gamma^{\mathrm{lin}}_{\mathrm{eff}} + \Gamma^{\mathrm{geom}}_{\mathrm{eff}}\,, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\Gamma^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ contains terms which are at least quadratic in A, $\bar{A}$; $\Gamma^{\mathrm{lin}}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ contains terms linear in A, $\bar{A}$ and $\Gamma^{\mathrm{geom}}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ comprises terms which depend only on the background fields H, J and L. The treatment of linear terms is postponed until section A.2. *** Since we will consider only transformation properties of single insertions, $\Gamma^{\mathrm{geom}}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ is not relevant and will not be considered here.

A basis of integrated field monomials – which is needed to write down the most general $\Gamma^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ – may be found in table 4.2. We see that the terms I_{kin} and I_ξ from (4.5) are extended by an additional factor l^n, while it is not possible to derive l-dependent terms from I_M and I_g due to chirality. l is defined by $l = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}H}\tilde{l} = \log L$. It should be clear, however, that the independent fields are A, $\bar{A}$, $H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, J, $\bar{J}$ and $\tilde{l}$.

The effective action is given by

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{dyn}}_{\mathrm{eff}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big(\tfrac{1}{16}\hat{z}^{(n)}(I^{(n)}_{\mathrm{kin}} + \bar{I}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{kin}}) + \tfrac{1}{8}\hat{\xi}^{(n)}(I^{(n)}_{\xi} + \bar{I}^{(n)}_{\xi}) \Big) - \tfrac{1}{8}(I_M + \bar{I}_M) + \tfrac{\hat{g}}{48}(I_g + \bar{I}_g)\,. \tag{4.11}$$

According to the quantum action principle, the Weyl variation of the vertex functional Γ is given in terms of the Weyl variation of the effective action,

$$\underset{\sigma}{w}\Gamma = \big[\underset{\sigma}{w}\Gamma_{\mathrm{eff}}\big] \cdot \Gamma\,. \tag{4.12}$$

The Weyl variation of the effective action is

$$\begin{aligned}{}_\sigma w\ \Gamma^{\rm dyn}_{\rm eff} &= -\tfrac{1}{16}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)\hat{z}^{(n+1)}\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\rm kin}\ -\tfrac{1}{8}\mathscr{L}_M \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{8}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(\hat{\xi}^{(n)}(\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_\xi-\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_\xi)+(n+1)\hat{\xi}^{(n+1)}(\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_\xi+\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_\xi)\Big)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

In order to evaluate $[{}_\sigma w\,\Gamma^{\rm dyn}_{\rm eff}]\cdot\Gamma$, a Zimmermann identity has to be used. The Zimmermann identity (4.3) for $[M(s-1)\phi^3A^2]\cdot\Gamma$ contains all possible terms of dimension 3 which we divide into three parts,

$$\big[M(s-1)\phi^3A^2\big]\cdot\Gamma\big|_{s=1} = [\Delta_{\rm dyn}]\cdot\Gamma|_{s=1}+\Delta_{\rm lin}+\Delta_{\rm geom}\,. \tag{4.14}$$

All terms giving rise to true, non-local insertions are collected in $\Delta_{\rm dyn}$, while $\Delta_{\rm lin}$ comprises terms linear in A and $\Delta_{\rm geom}$ contains purely geometrical terms,

$$\Delta_{\rm dyn} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big\{u^{(n)}_{\rm kin}\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\rm kin}+u^{(n)}_\xi\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_\xi+u'^{(n)}_\xi\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_\xi\Big\}\ . \tag{4.15}$$

The Weyl breaking S is also decomposed into three parts

$${}_\sigma w\,\Gamma\big|_{s=1} = -\tfrac{3}{2}\ [S]\cdot\Gamma|_{s=1} = -\tfrac{3}{2}\ [S_{\rm dyn}]\cdot\Gamma|_{s=1}-\tfrac{3}{2}S_{\rm lin}-\tfrac{3}{2}S_{\rm geom}\,, \tag{4.16}$$

where $-\frac{3}{2}S_{\rm dyn}$ is given by the sum of $-\frac{1}{8}\Delta_{\rm dyn}$ and the terms from (4.13) except for the mass term,

$$\begin{aligned} -\tfrac{3}{2}S_{\rm dyn} &= -\tfrac{1}{8}\Delta_{\rm dyn}-\tfrac{1}{16}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)\hat{z}^{(n+1)}\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\rm kin} \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{8}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(\hat{\xi}^{(n)}(\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_\xi-\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_\xi)+(n+1)\hat{\xi}^{(n+1)}(\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_\xi+\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_\xi)\Big)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

As explained in section 3.2, we would like to have a Weyl invariant theory (up to geometrical breaking terms), such that the S-breaking is coupled to the external field $\tilde{l}$ according to equation (3.34) . The basic idea is to choose the infinitely many counterterm coefficients in such a way that the complete breaking $\Delta_{\rm dyn}$ is absorbed. This Weyl invariant coupling is established by the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *There exists a unique choice of $\hat{z}^{(n)}$ $(n\geq 1)$, $\hat{\xi}^{(n)}$ $(n\geq 0)$ and of the linear counterterm coefficients such that*

$${}_\sigma w\ \Gamma\big|_{s=1} = -\tfrac{3}{2}S_{\rm geom}(H,J,\tilde{l})\,,$$

where $S_{\rm geom}$ is independent of A, $\bar{A}$. The only remaining free parameters are $\hat{z}^{(0)}$, $\hat{g}$ and M.

To zeroth order in l, the theory coincides with the R-invariant theory on old minimal superspace, while to first order the effective action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{eff}}\big|_{l^1} = &-\tfrac{1}{8}u_{\mathrm{kin}}\int \mathrm{d}^8z\,E^{-1}l\,A\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A} - \tfrac{1}{16}(u_\xi+u'_\xi)\int \mathrm{d}^8z\,E^{-1}l\,(A^2+\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A}^2)\\
&- \tfrac{1}{16}(u_1+u'_1)\int \mathrm{d}^8z\,E^{-1}l\,\big(RA+\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}(\bar{R}\bar{A})\big)\\
&+ \tfrac{1}{16}(u_2+u'_2)\int \mathrm{d}^8z\,E^{-1}l\,\big(\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}(\mathscr{D}^2+\bar{R})\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}H}A+(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2+R)\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A}\big)\\
&+ \tfrac{1}{8}u_{\Box}\int \mathrm{d}^8z\,E^{-1}l\,(\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\mathscr{D}^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}H}A+\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}\bar{A})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In order to reveal hidden dependencies between the Zimmermann coefficients, we make use of the commutation relation $[{}_{\sigma}\bar{w}(z')\,,\,{}_{\sigma}w(z)] = 0$ which implies that

$$ {}_{\sigma}\bar{w}(z')\,S_{\mathrm{dyn}}(z) = {}_{\sigma}w(z)\,\bar{S}_{\mathrm{dyn}}(z') + O(\hbar^k)\,, \tag{4.18}$$

where $k-1$ is the lowest non-vanishing $\hbar$-order of S_{dyn},

$$S_{\mathrm{dyn}} = O(\hbar^{k-1})\,. \tag{4.19}$$

(4.18) is equivalent to the consistency relations

$$u_\xi^{(n)} - (n+1)u_\xi^{(n+1)} = -u_\xi'^{(n)} - (n+1)u_\xi'^{(n+1)} + O(\hbar^k) \qquad (n\geq 0)\,. \tag{4.20}$$

Note that the superscripts ${}^{(n)}$ denote the order in l, not in $\hbar$. In order to solve equation (4.20) we define

$$\begin{aligned}
v_\xi^{(0)} &\equiv \tfrac{1}{2}(u_\xi^{(0)} - u_\xi'^{(0)}) && (4.21)\\
v_\xi^{(n+1)} &\equiv \tfrac{1}{n+1}(u_\xi^{(n)} - v_\xi^{(n)})\,, && (4.22)
\end{aligned}$$

or inverted

$$u_\xi'^{(0)} = v_\xi^{(1)} - v_\xi^{(0)} \qquad u_\xi^{(n)} = v_\xi^{(n)} + (n+1)v_\xi^{(n+1)} \quad (n\geq 0)\,. \tag{4.23}$$

Equation (4.18) determines all $u_\xi'^{(n)}$ in terms of the $v_\xi^{(n)}$,

$$u_\xi'^{(n)} = -v_\xi^{(n)} + (n+1)v_\xi^{(n+1)} + O(\hbar^k)\,, \tag{4.24}$$

such that Δ_{dyn} may be rewritten as

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{dyn}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(u_{\mathrm{kin}}^{(n)}I_{\mathrm{kin}}^{(n)} + v_\xi^{(n)}(\mathscr{L}_\xi^{(n)} - \mathscr{L}_\xi'^{(n)}) + (n+1)v_\xi^{(n+1)}(\mathscr{L}_\xi^{(n)} + \mathscr{L}_\xi'^{(n)})\Big) + O(\hbar^k) \tag{4.25}$$

Comparison with (4.17) shows that all breaking terms are Weyl variations and can be absorbed into $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{dyn}}$ by choosing

$$\hat{z}^{(n)} = -\tfrac{2}{n} u_{\text{kin}}^{(n-1)} \quad (n \geq 1) , \qquad \hat{\xi}^{(n)} = -v_{\xi}^{(n)} \quad (n \geq 0) . \tag{4.26}$$

Thus we have

$${}_{\sigma}w\ \Gamma\Big|_{s=1} = -\tfrac{3}{2} S_{\text{geom}}(H, J, \tilde{l}) + \text{terms linear in } A,\, \bar{A} + O(\hbar^k) , \tag{4.27}$$

i.e.

$$S_{\text{dyn}} = O(\hbar^k) . \tag{4.28}$$

Now the lowest non-vanishing order of S_{dyn} is no longer $k-1$ but k, and in this way k can be pushed higher and higher until S_{dyn}=0 to all orders in $\hbar$.

Putting $l = 0$, the Zimmermann identity (4.14) becomes identical to the Zimmermann identity on old minimal curved superspace [3]. Comparison of coefficients yields

$$u_{\text{kin}} = u_{\text{kin}}^{(0)} , \qquad u_{\xi} = u_{\xi}^{(0)} , \qquad u'_{\xi} = {u'_{\xi}}^{(0)} .$$

Correspondingly, we have $\hat{z} = \hat{z}^{(0)}$, $\hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}^{(0)}$. (4.26) together with (4.21) yields the same value for $\hat{\xi}$ which is also obtained by requiring R invariance. Thus to zeroth order in l, the model is equivalent to the R invariant theory of [3]. The coefficients of the first order terms in l are obtained from (4.22), (4.26) as

$$\hat{\xi}^{(1)} = -\tfrac{1}{2}(u_{\xi} + u'_{\xi}) , \qquad \hat{z}^{(1)} = -2 u_{\text{kin}} .$$

The linear terms will be treated separately in section A.2.

□

Clearly, $\hat{z}^{(0)}$ and $\hat{g}$ are the same as $\hat{z}$ and $\hat{g}$ in the theory without L and are fixed by the usual normalization conditions (4.6).

4.2 Transformation of *S*

Having achieved the Weyl invariant coupling of L, (3.36) may now be applied to the vertex functional of the Wess-Zumino model. Using the expression for $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}|_{l^1}$ of theorem 3, it may be checked explicitly that the S breaking (4.8) is coupled to $\tilde{l}$ according to (3.34). On flat space, (4.8) reduces to

$$-\tfrac{3}{2} S = -\tfrac{1}{8} u_{\text{kin}} \bar{D}^2 (A\bar{A}) - \tfrac{1}{16}(u_{\xi} + u'_{\xi}) \bar{D}^2 \bar{A}^2 + (u_2 + u'_2 + 2u_{\Box}) \Box A . \tag{4.29}$$

(3.36) yields the transformation properties of the S breaking (4.29) for the flat space theory at $s = 1$,

$$^{A}W(\Omega_{\rm conf})\,[S(z)]\cdot\Gamma = [(\Lambda_c - 3\sigma)S(z)]\cdot\Gamma - \tfrac{3}{2}\int\! d^6z\,'\sigma(z')\,\{S(z')\cdot S(z)\}\cdot\Gamma - \tfrac{3}{2}\int\! d^6\bar{z}\,'\bar{\sigma}(z')\,\{\bar{S}(z')\cdot S(z)\}\cdot\Gamma\,. \tag{4.30}$$

The double insertion $\{S(z_1)\cdot S(z_2)\}$ is defined in the spirit of [5] by

$$\begin{aligned}\{S(z_1)\cdot S(z_2)\}\cdot\Gamma &= \left(-\tfrac{2}{3}\right)^2\bar{D}_1^2\bar{D}_2^2\frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\tilde{l}(z_1)\delta\tilde{l}(z_2)}\\ &= [S(z_1)]\cdot[S(z_2)]\cdot\Gamma + \left[\left(-\tfrac{2}{3}\right)^2\bar{D}_1^2\bar{D}_2^2\frac{\delta^2\Gamma_{\rm eff}}{\delta\tilde{l}(z_1)\delta\tilde{l}(z_2)}\right]\cdot\Gamma\,,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the second derivative of $\Gamma_{\rm eff}$ with respect to $\tilde{l}$ is involved. Terms of second order in $\tilde{l}$ in $\Gamma_{\rm eff}$ contain the Zimmermann coefficients $u^{(1)}_{\rm kin}$, $u^{(1)}_{\xi}$, … which are not present in flat space nor in the old minimal curved space formalism of [3]. Actual values for these Zimmermann coefficients can be obtained by calculating Feynman diagrams with one external $\tilde{l}$-leg.

(4.30) holds for any choice of geometrical counterterms $\Gamma^{\rm geom}_{\rm eff}$. The geometrical counterterms of first order in $\tilde{l}$ contribute to S itself, while the second order terms contribute to the double insertion. Due to diffeomorphism invariance, the first and second order terms are related in exactly such a way that (4.30) is always true.

We pass over from Γ to Z and obtain the transformation properties of Green functions.

Theorem 4. *The superconformal transformation properties for the S breaking (4.29) of the massless flat-space Wess-Zumino model are given by*

$$\delta\langle\mathbf{T}\,S(z)\,X\rangle = \tfrac{3}{2}{\rm i}\int\! d^6z'\sigma(z')\,\langle\mathbf{T}\,\{S(z')\cdot S(z)\}\,X\rangle + \tfrac{3}{2}{\rm i}\int\! d^6\bar{z}'\bar{\sigma}(z')\,\langle\mathbf{T}\,\{\bar{S}(z')\cdot S(z)\}\,X\rangle\,, \tag{4.31}$$

where

$$X \equiv A(z_1)\ldots A(z_n)\bar{A}(z_1')\ldots\bar{A}(z_m') \tag{4.32}$$

is an arbitrary number of elementary fields. $\langle\mathbf{T}\,\ldots\rangle$ is the vacuum expectation value of the time ordered product. Here the superconformal transformation δ of the Green functions is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\,\langle\mathbf{T}\,S(z)\,X\rangle \equiv{}& \langle\mathbf{T}\,\delta S(z)\,X\rangle\\ &+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\langle\mathbf{T}\,S(z)\,A(z_1)\ldots(\delta A(z_k))\ldots A(z_n)\,\bar{A}(z_1')\ldots\bar{A}(z_m')\rangle\\ &+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\langle\mathbf{T}\,S(z)\,A(z_1)\ldots A(z_n)\,\bar{A}(z_1')\ldots\big(\delta\bar{A}(z_k')\big)\ldots\bar{A}(z_m')\rangle\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S &= (\Lambda_c - 3\sigma)\, S\,,\\ \delta A &= (\Lambda_c - \sigma)\, A\,,\\ \delta \bar{A} &= \left(\bar{\Lambda}_c - \bar{\sigma}\right)\bar{A}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since we have not assigned any anomalous dimension to A, we have – in addition to super Poincaré invariance – exact R-invariance, i.e.

$$\delta^{P,Q,M,R}\langle \mathbf{T}\, S(z)\, X\rangle = 0\,. \tag{4.34}$$

4.3 B formulation

In order to obtain the B formulation, L has to be restricted to be a linear field. This means, however, that the basis of local field monomials presented in tables 4.2, A.1, A.2 is no longer linearly independent. It turns out that $\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\rm IIc}$, $\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\rm IIc}$, $\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\rm Ib}$, $\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\rm Ib}$ may be expressed in terms of the remaining basis elements. In the Zimmermann terms $\Delta^{\rm lin}_{\rm I}$ (A.2.6) and $\Delta^{\rm lin}_{\rm II}$ (A.2.12), these terms have to be omitted. $\Delta_{\rm dyn}$ remains unchanged. Correspondingly, the most general effective action is given by

$$\Gamma_{\rm eff} = \Gamma^{\rm dyn}_{\rm eff} + \Gamma^{\rm lin\ I}_{\rm eff} + \Gamma^{\rm lin\ II}_{\rm eff}$$

with $\Gamma^{\rm dyn}_{\rm eff}$ as in (4.11) and $\Gamma^{\rm lin}_{\rm eff}$ as in (A.2.5), (A.2.11) with $\lambda^{(n)}_{\rm Ib} = \lambda^{(n)}_{\rm IIc} = 0$. The consistency conditions for the new Zimmermann identities are the same as in (A.2.8) and (A.2.13), but with $u^{(n)}_{\rm IIc} = u'^{(n)}_{\rm IIc} = u^{(n)}_{\rm Ib} = u'^{(n)}_{\rm Ib} = 0$. It is easy to see that still all breaking terms can be absorbed, i.e. theorem 3 is also valid for linear L.

B-type supercurrent and B breaking may be easily calculated by using

$$\tilde{l}\Big|_{L_0=1} = -\tfrac{1}{3}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}]H^{\alpha\dot\alpha} + O(H^2) \tag{4.35}$$

$$\tilde{l}\Big|_{H=0} = \log\left(\tfrac{1}{2}D^\alpha\eta_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}\bar{\eta}^{\dot\alpha}\right)\,. \tag{4.36}$$

From (3.71), (3.73) and theorem 3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha} &= V^S_{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{24}u_{\rm kin}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}](A\bar{A}) - \tfrac{1}{48}(u_\xi + u'_\xi)[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}](A^2 + \bar{A}^2)\\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{48}(u_2 + u'_2)[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}](D^2 A + \bar{D}^2\bar{A})\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

$$B_\alpha = -\tfrac{1}{2}u_{\rm kin}\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha(A\bar{A}) + \tfrac{1}{4}(u_\xi + u'_\xi)\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha(A^2 + \bar{A}^2)\,, \tag{4.38}$$

where $V^S_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V^S_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = & -\tfrac{1}{6}\hat z\left(D_\alpha A\bar D_{\dot\alpha}\bar A - AD_\alpha\bar D_{\dot\alpha}\bar A + \bar A\bar D_{\dot\alpha}D_\alpha A\right) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{3}\hat\xi\{D_\alpha,\bar D_{\dot\alpha}\}\left(A^2-\bar A^2\right) + \tfrac{1}{3}\hat\lambda_2\{D_\alpha,\bar D_{\dot\alpha}\}\left(D^2A-\bar D^2\bar A\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

The conformal transformation properties of the B-type supercurrent and of B_α are obtained from theorem 2.

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{A\bar A}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega_{\rm conf})[V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)]\cdot\Gamma = [\delta V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)]\cdot\Gamma & + \tfrac{1}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\Omega^\beta(z')\,\{B_\beta(z')\cdot V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)\}\cdot\Gamma \\ & + \tfrac{1}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\bar\Omega_{\dot\beta}(z')\,\{\bar B^{\dot\beta}(z')\cdot V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)\}\cdot\Gamma\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{A\bar A}_{\Lambda\sigma}W(\Omega_{\rm conf})[B_\alpha(z)]\cdot\Gamma = [\delta B_\alpha(z)]\cdot\Gamma & + \tfrac{1}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\Omega^\beta(z')\,\{B_\beta(z')\cdot B_\alpha(z)\}\cdot\Gamma \\ & + \tfrac{1}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\bar\Omega_{\dot\beta}(z')\,\{\bar B^{\dot\beta}(z')\cdot B_\alpha(z)\}\cdot\Gamma\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

We will not calculate transformation properties of multiple insertions of the B-type supercurrent here. However, it is clear that for every additional insertion, higher Zimmermann coefficients of the infinite towers in (4.15), (A.2.6) and (A.2.12) will contribute. In this sense, multiple insertions of the B-type current acquire new anomalies for each insertion. The same is true for multiple insertions of the B-breaking B_α.

Theorem 5. *The superconformal transformation properties of the B-type supercurrent and of the breaking term B_α of the massless flat-space Wess-Zumino model are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\langle\mathbf{T}\,V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)\,X\rangle = & -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\Omega^\beta(z')\,\langle\mathbf{T}\,\{B_\beta(z')\cdot V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)\}\,X\rangle \\ & -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\bar\Omega_{\dot\beta}(z')\,\langle\mathbf{T}\,\{\bar B^{\dot\beta}(z')\cdot V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)\}\,X\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\langle\mathbf{T}\,B_\alpha(z)\,X\rangle = & -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\Omega^\beta(z')\,\langle\mathbf{T}\,\{B_\beta(z')\cdot B_\alpha(z)\}\,X\rangle \\ & -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{16}\int\! \mathrm{d}^8z'\,\bar\Omega_{\dot\beta}(z')\,\langle\mathbf{T}\,\{\bar B^{\dot\beta}(z')\cdot B_\alpha(z)\}\,X\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

$\delta V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ and δB_α are given by

$$\delta V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = \left(\Lambda - \tfrac{3}{2}(\sigma+\bar\sigma)\right)V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \tag{4.44}$$

$$\delta B_\alpha = \left(\Lambda - \tfrac{3}{2}\sigma\right)B_\alpha\,, \tag{4.45}$$

δA, $\delta\bar A$ and X are the same as in theorem 4.

Due to the properties (2.6) of the breaking term B_α, we have super Poincaré- and R-invariance,

$$\delta^{P,Q,M,R}\langle\mathbf{T}\,V^B_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(z)\,X\rangle = \delta^{P,Q,M,R}\langle\mathbf{T}\,B_\alpha(z)\,X\rangle = 0\,. \tag{4.46}$$

The dilatational Ward identity is given by

$$
{}^{A\bar{A}}_{\;D}W\,[V^{B}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}]\cdot\Gamma = [\delta^{D}V^{B}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}]\cdot\Gamma - \tfrac{1}{4}\int\!\mathrm{d}^4x\,\left\{ \left(D^{\beta}B_{\beta} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{B}^{\dot{\beta}}\right)\Big|_{\theta=0}\cdot V^{B}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\right\}\cdot\Gamma\,, \qquad (4.47)
$$

and similar for the $[B_{\alpha}]$-insertion.

5 Conclusion

The purpose of this paper was twofold. First, it was intended to set up a general framework which gives control on the flat-space supercurrent and on the superconformal anomalies by coupling the matter theory to a background of new minimal supergravity fields. Second, this formalism should be applied to the massless Wess-Zumino model.

In section 3.2, the S breaking has been coupled to a real external field L, and thus access to the transformation properties of S was gained. By restricting the field L to be linear, one performs the transition from old to new minimal supergravity. The choice of independent fields in new minimal supergravity is non-trivial, since it should be possible to vary them independently and also to have well defined functional derivative operators, which is both not the case for the original field L. However, the problem has been solved by introducing the flat space chiral spinor field η_{α}. Furthermore it turned out that this formulation yields the B-type superconformal Ward identity in the flat space limit, that the B-type supercurrent is directly coupled to $H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and that the B breaking B_{α} is directly coupled to η^{α}. Insertions of the B-type supercurrent as well as of the breaking term B_{α} may thus be generated by functional differentiation with respect to $H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and η^{α}.

This general framework has been applied to the massless Wess-Zumino model in section 4. The classical theory is conformally invariant, yet acquires anomalies upon quantization due to the necessity of introducing an auxiliary mass term. Renormalization of the model with the additional external field L involves several infinite towers of counterterms because L is dimensionless. However, a systematical treatment of dynamical anomalies was possible by using consistency conditions. Especially the linear terms require quite some work here. Though these linear terms are of little physical importance for the flat space theory, they might very well become important in a theory with propagating supergravity fields. Furthermore their study is justified as further evidence for the vigor of the method of Weyl invariant coupling.

As a result, all dynamical anomalies could be absorbed into the effective action, such that the formalism of section 3.2 could be used to determine the transformation properties of the S breaking. Conversely to the case of supercurrent insertions, the transformation of insertions of S involves new anomalies originating in the infinite towers of couterterms. In the same way the transformation of the B-type supercurrent and of the breaking term B_{α} involve new anomalies.

As opposed to the S formulation of [3], the B approach is technically more involved but perhaps also more systematic. Despite the fact that in the B formulation R invariance is manifest, the conformal transformation properties of the S-type supercurrent are better behaved in the sense that all anomalies even of multiple insertions may be expressed in terms of finitely many Zimmermann coefficients. Multiple insertions of the B-type supercurrent acquire new anomalies for each additional insertion. The clarification of these different characteristics of S- and B-type breaking constitute one of the main aims of the paper, particularly in view of the suitable supergravity variables.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Klaus Sibold for many useful discussions as well as to Wolfdieter Lang for a discussion on new minimal supergravity.

A Appendix

A.1 Infinitesimal Transformations

Here we list the infinitesimal diffeomorphism and Weyl transformations for the old minimal supergravity prepotentials $H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and J as well as for a chiral matter field A.

Diffeomorphisms

$$\delta_\Lambda A = \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2\left(\Omega^\alpha D_\alpha A\right) \tag{A.1.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\Lambda H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = {} & \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Omega^\alpha \\
& + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\Omega^\beta\{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \tfrac{1}{4}H^{\beta\dot{\beta}}\{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Omega^\alpha + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2\Omega^\beta D_\beta H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\
& - \tfrac{1}{8}H^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\{D_\gamma, \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}\}\bar{D}^2\Omega^\beta D_\beta H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \tfrac{1}{24}H^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\{D_\gamma, \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}\}\left(H^{\beta\dot{\beta}}\{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Omega^\alpha\right) \\
& - \tfrac{1}{12}H^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\{D_\gamma, \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}\}\left(\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\Omega^\beta\{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\right) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{24}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\Omega^\beta\{D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\}\left(H^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\{D_\gamma, \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}\}H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\right) \qquad \text{(A.1.2)} \\
& + c.c. + O(H^3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_\Lambda J = \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2\left(\Omega^\alpha D_\alpha J\right) + \tfrac{1}{12}\bar{D}^2 D^\alpha\Omega_\alpha \tag{A.1.3}$$

Weyl Transformations

$$\delta_\sigma A = -\sigma A \tag{A.1.4}$$

$$\delta_\sigma H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \tag{A.1.5}$$

$$\delta_\sigma J = \sigma \tag{A.1.6}$$

A.2 Linear terms

We come back to the linear terms of equations (4.10) and (4.14) which have not been considered so far. First we have to find a basis of local chiral terms of dimension 3 which are linear in A, $\bar{A}$. Since a chiral projection operator $(\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)$ has to be included, there is room for two additional spinorial derivatives in order to have dimension 3. The problem of finding all possible placements of these two derivatives such that linearly independent terms are obtained, is easily solved when the following identities are taken into account,

$$\mathscr{D}^\alpha l^m \mathscr{D}_\alpha l^n = \frac{mn}{(m+n)(m+n-1)}\mathscr{D}^2 l^{m+n} - \frac{1}{m+n-1} l^{m+n-1}\mathscr{D}^2 l\,, \tag{A.2.1}$$

$$l^m \mathscr{D}^2 l^n = \frac{n(n-1)}{(m+n)(m+n-1)}\mathscr{D}^2 l^{m+n} + \frac{mn}{m+n-1} l^{m+n-1}\mathscr{D}^2 l\,, \tag{A.2.2}$$

$$l\mathscr{D}^2\left(Al^n\right) = \frac{n}{n+1}\mathscr{D}^2\left(Al^{n+1}\right) + \frac{1}{n+1}\mathscr{D}^2 A\, l^{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1} A\mathscr{D}^2 l^{n+1} + Al^n\mathscr{D}^2 l\,, \tag{A.2.3}$$

and similarly for $\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2$. In (A.2.1) – (A.2.3), representation changing factors $\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}H}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}H}$ have been omitted for better readability. It is a trivial task to insert them at the correct positions.

A possible choice of basis terms $\mathscr{L}$ – separated into two parts – is shown in tables A.1 and A.2. Again, representation changing factors have been omitted. It is important to note that

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{L}^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = \mathscr{L}^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} \qquad \mathscr{L}'^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = \mathscr{L}''^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} \qquad \mathscr{L}''^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = \mathscr{L}'^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} \\
\mathscr{L}^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} = \mathscr{L}'^{\,(1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} \qquad \mathscr{L}'^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} = \mathscr{L}''^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} \\
\mathscr{L}''^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} = \mathscr{L}'^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \qquad \mathscr{L}^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} = \mathscr{L}^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \qquad \mathscr{L}'^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} = \mathscr{L}''^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}\,,
\end{gathered}$$

thus the basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}, \mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}, \mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}}, \mathscr{L}'^{\,(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}}, \mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} \qquad & (n \geq 0) \\
\mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}, \mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}}, \mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}}, \mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}}, \mathscr{L}'^{\,(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}}, \mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}}, \mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}}, \mathscr{L}'^{\,(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} \qquad & (n \geq 1)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

A basis of integrated terms is also given in tables A.1 and A.2.

The linear terms of the effective action $\Gamma^{\mathrm{lin}}_{\mathrm{eff}}$, Zimmermann identity Δ_{lin} resp. Weyl breaking S_{lin} may be divided in two parts which can be treated separately,

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{lin}}_{\mathrm{eff}} = \Gamma^{\mathrm{lin\ I}}_{\mathrm{eff}} + \Gamma^{\mathrm{lin\ II}}_{\mathrm{eff}}\,, \qquad \Delta_{\mathrm{lin}} = \Delta^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{lin}} + \Delta^{\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{lin}}\,, \qquad S_{\mathrm{lin}} = S^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{lin}} + S^{\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{lin}}\,. \tag{A.2.4}$$

With both parts we proceed now in complete analogy to the $\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_\xi$, $\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_\xi$ terms in the proof of theorem 3.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z\, E^{-1} R A\, l^n & I_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z\, E^{-1} A\, (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R) l\, l^n \\
\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(R A\, l^n) & \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(A\, (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R) l\, l^n) \\
\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R) \left(\bar{R} \bar{A}\, l^n \right) & \mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R) \left(\bar{A}\, (\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R}) l\, l^n \right) \\
\mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} = \phi^3 (\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R})(\bar{A}\, l^n) &
\end{array}
$$

Table A.1: Local and integrated field monomials linear in A, $\bar{A}$: part I

Part I

For the first part we have

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{lin\ I}} = \tfrac{1}{8} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} (I_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} + \bar{I}_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)}) + \tfrac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} (I_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} + \bar{I}_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)}) \tag{A.2.5}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{\mathrm{I}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} + u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \right\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ u''^{\,(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + u_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} + u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} \mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} \right\} . \tag{A.2.6}
$$

Again we evaluate the commutation relations $[{}_\sigma\bar{w}(z'), {}_\sigma w(z)] = 0$, $[{}_\sigma w(z'), {}_\sigma w(z)] = 0$. Since linear terms are always local,

$$
{}_\sigma\bar{w}(z')\, \Delta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{\mathrm{I}}(z) = {}_\sigma w(z)\, \bar{\Delta}_{\mathrm{lin}}^{\mathrm{I}}(z') \,, \qquad {}_\sigma w(z') \Delta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{\mathrm{I}}(z) = {}_\sigma w(z) \Delta_{\mathrm{lin}}^{\mathrm{I}}(z') \tag{A.2.7}
$$

hold to all orders in $\hbar$. This yields the following system of equations (which is analogous to (4.20)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} - (n{+}1) u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n+1)} + 2u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + (n{+}1) u'^{(n+1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} - \tfrac{n-2}{n} u_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n-1)} &= 0 \quad (n \geq 2) && \text{(A.2.8a)} \\
u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(n)} + 2u''^{\,(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + (n{+}1) u''^{\,(n+1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + \tfrac{n-2}{n} u_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n-1)} - u_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} &= 0 \quad (n \geq 2) && \text{(A.2.8b)} \\
2u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} + (n{+}1) u'^{(n+1)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} + 2u_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n)} - (n{+}1) u_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(n+1)} &= 0 \quad (n \geq 1) && \text{(A.2.8c)} \\
\tfrac{n-2}{n} u'^{(n-1)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} - u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} + u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} - u''^{\,(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + (n{+}1) u''^{\,(n+1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} &= 0 \quad (n \geq 2) && \text{(A.2.8d)} \\
2u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(0)} - u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(1)} + 2u'^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + u'^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + u''^{\,(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} &= 0 && \text{(A.2.8e)} \\
u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(1)} - 2u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(2)} + 2u'^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + 2u'^{(2)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + u'^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} &= 0 && \text{(A.2.8f)} \\
u_{\mathrm{Ia}}^{(1)} + 2u''^{\,(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + 2u''^{\,(2)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + u'^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} - 2u_{\mathrm{Ib}}^{(1)} &= 0 && \text{(A.2.8g)} \\
-u'^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} + u'^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} - u''^{\,(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + 2u''^{\,(2)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} &= 0 && \text{(A.2.8h)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$I^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z \, E^{-1} \bar{R} A l^n$	$I^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z \, E^{-1} (\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R}) A \, l^n$
$I^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} = \int \mathrm{d}^8 z \, E^{-1} A (\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R}) l \, l^n$	
$\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R})(A l^n)$	$\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)((\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R}) A l^n)$
$\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(R \bar{A} l^n)$	$\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(A (\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R}) l^n)$
$\mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(\bar{R} A l^n)$	$\mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)((\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R) \bar{A} l^n)$
$\mathscr{L}^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(A (\mathscr{D}^2 + \bar{R}) l \, l^n)$	$\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} = \phi^3 (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R)(\bar{A} (\bar{\mathscr{D}}^2 + R) l \, l^n)$

Table A.2: Local and integrated field monomials linear in A, $\bar{A}$: part II

In order to solve these equations we define – similarly to (4.21), (4.22) – the linear combinations

$$v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} \equiv \tfrac{1}{4}(u'^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} - u^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}}) \qquad (n \geq 1) \tag{A.2.9a}$$

$$v^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \equiv \tfrac{1}{4}(u'^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} - u^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}) \tag{A.2.9b}$$

$$v^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \equiv -\tfrac{1}{2}(u'^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} + u^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}) \tag{A.2.9c}$$

$$v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} \equiv u''^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} - \tfrac{n-2}{n} \, v^{(n-1)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} + v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} \qquad (n \geq 2)\,. \tag{A.2.9d}$$

The above consistency conditions (A.2.8) may then be used to express all Zimmermann coefficients u in terms of $v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}$, $v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}}$. The resulting breaking term $\Delta^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{lin}}$ is a Weyl variation and may be cancelled by fixing the counterterm coefficients

$$\lambda^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} = v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}\,, \qquad \lambda^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}} = v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{Ib}}\,. \tag{A.2.10}$$

Part II

Part II is treated in exactly the same way as part I. Effective action and Zimmermann terms are given by

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lin II}} = \tfrac{1}{8}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\lambda_{\text{IIa}}^{(n)}\big(I_{\text{IIa}}^{(n)}+\bar{I}_{\text{IIa}}^{(n)}\big) + \tfrac{1}{8}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{\text{IIb}}^{(n)}\big(I_{\text{IIb}}^{(n)}+\bar{I}_{\text{IIb}}^{(n)}\big) + \tfrac{1}{8}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{\text{IIc}}^{(n)}\big(I_{\text{IIc}}^{(n)}+\bar{I}_{\text{IIc}}^{(n)}\big) \tag{A.2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\text{lin}}^{\text{II}} = {} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big\{u_{\text{IIa}}^{(n)}\mathscr{L}_{\text{IIa}}^{(n)} + u'^{(n)}_{\text{IIa}}\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\text{IIa}} + u''^{(n)}_{\text{IIa}}\mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\text{IIa}}\Big\} \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big\{u_{\text{IIb}}^{(n)}\mathscr{L}_{\text{IIb}}^{(n)} + u'^{(n)}_{\text{IIb}}\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\text{IIb}} + u''^{(n)}_{\text{IIb}}\mathscr{L}''^{(n)}_{\text{IIb}} + u_{\text{IIc}}^{(n)}\mathscr{L}_{\text{IIc}}^{(n)} + u'^{(n)}_{\text{IIc}}\mathscr{L}'^{(n)}_{\text{IIc}}\Big\}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{A.2.12}$$

The commutation relations $[{}_{\sigma}w(z),\, {}_{\sigma}w(z')] = 0$, $[{}_{\sigma}\bar{w}(z'),\, {}_{\sigma}w(z)] = 0$ yield the following system of equations.

$$-u_{\text{IIa}}^{(n)} - (n{+}1)u_{\text{IIa}}^{(n+1)} - u'^{(n)}_{\text{IIa}} - \tfrac{n-1}{n}u'^{(n-1)}_{\text{IIc}} + u'^{(n)}_{\text{IIc}} = 0 \quad (n \geq 2) \tag{A.2.13a}$$

$$-u_{\text{IIb}}^{(n)} - (n{+}1)u_{\text{IIb}}^{(n+1)} - u''^{(n)}_{\text{IIb}} + (n{+}1)u''^{(n+1)}_{\text{IIb}} - \tfrac{1}{n}u'^{(n-1)}_{\text{IIc}} = 0 \quad (n \geq 2) \tag{A.2.13b}$$

$$-u'^{(n)}_{\text{IIb}} - (n{+}1)u'^{(n+1)}_{\text{IIb}} + \tfrac{1}{n}u'^{(n-1)}_{\text{IIc}} = 0 \quad (n \geq 2) \tag{A.2.13c}$$

$$-u''^{(n)}_{\text{IIa}} - (n{+}1)u''^{(n+1)}_{\text{IIa}} - u_{\text{IIc}}^{(n)} + (n{+}1)u'^{(n+1)}_{\text{IIa}} + \tfrac{n-1}{n}u'^{(n-1)}_{\text{IIc}} = 0 \quad (n \geq 1) \tag{A.2.13d}$$

$$u'^{(n)}_{\text{IIb}} - (n{+}1)u'^{(n+1)}_{\text{IIb}} + \tfrac{1}{n}u_{\text{IIc}}^{(n-1)} = 0 \quad (n \geq 2) \tag{A.2.13e}$$

$$-u_{\text{IIa}}^{(1)} - 2u_{\text{IIa}}^{(2)} - u'^{(1)}_{\text{IIa}} + u'^{(1)}_{\text{IIc}} = 0 \tag{A.2.13f}$$

$$-u_{\text{IIb}}^{(1)} - 2u_{\text{IIb}}^{(2)} - u''^{(1)}_{\text{IIb}} + 2u''^{(2)}_{\text{IIb}} = 0 \tag{A.2.13g}$$

$$-u'^{(1)}_{\text{IIb}} - 2u'^{(2)}_{\text{IIb}} - u_{\text{IIc}}^{(1)} + u'^{(1)}_{\text{IIc}} = 0 \tag{A.2.13h}$$

$$-u_{\text{IIa}}^{(0)} - u_{\text{IIb}}^{(1)} - u_{\text{IIa}}^{(1)} - u'^{(0)}_{\text{IIa}} + u''^{(1)}_{\text{IIb}} = 0 \tag{A.2.13i}$$

$$-u''^{(0)}_{\text{IIa}} - u'^{(1)}_{\text{IIb}} - u''^{(1)}_{\text{IIa}} + u'^{(1)}_{\text{IIa}} = 0 \tag{A.2.13j}$$

$$u''^{(0)}_{\text{IIa}} + u_{\text{IIa}}^{(1)} - u'^{(1)}_{\text{IIb}} = 0 \tag{A.2.13k}$$

$$2u_{\text{IIa}}^{(2)} - u_{\text{IIc}}^{(1)} + u''^{(1)}_{\text{IIa}} = 0 \tag{A.2.13l}$$

Again, we define some linear combinations of the Zimmermann coefficients,

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} &\equiv \tfrac{1}{2}(u^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} - u'^{\,(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}}) && (n \geq 1) && \text{(A.2.14a)}\\
v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} &\equiv u^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} - \tfrac{n-1}{n} v^{(n-1)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} + v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} && (n \geq 2) && \text{(A.2.14b)}\\
v^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} &\equiv -\tfrac{1}{2}u^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} - \tfrac{1}{2}u'^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} + \tfrac{1}{2}u''^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} && && \text{(A.2.14c)}\\
v^{(2)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} &\equiv \tfrac{1}{2}v^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} - \tfrac{1}{2}u^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} && && \text{(A.2.14d)}\\
v^{(n+1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} &\equiv \tfrac{1}{n+1}v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} - \tfrac{1}{n+1}u^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} - \tfrac{1}{n(n+1)}v^{(n-1)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} && (n \geq 2) && \text{(A.2.14e)}\\
v^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} &\equiv u^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} + v^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} && && \text{(A.2.14f)}\\
v^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} &\equiv u^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} + v^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}}\,. && && \text{(A.2.14g)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations (A.2.13) determine all Zimmermann coefficients in terms of $v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}}$, $v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}}$ and $v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}}$.

Calculation of the Weyl variation of $\Gamma^{\mathrm{lin\ II}}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ shows that the breaking can be completely absorbed by fixing the counterterm coefficients

$$
\lambda^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} = v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIa}}\,, \qquad \lambda^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} = v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIb}}\,, \qquad \lambda^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}} = v^{(n)}_{\mathrm{IIc}}\,. \tag{A.2.15}
$$

With the choice (A.2.10), (A.2.15), we have $S_{\mathrm{lin}} = 0$ in (4.16).

The limit $l = 0$

The Zimmermann coefficients of 0th order terms in l are related to the Zimmermann coefficients of the old minimal formalism (cf. (4.8) as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_1 = u^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}}, \qquad u'_1 = u'^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}},\\
u_2 = u^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} + u''^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}}, \qquad u'_2 = u'^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}}, \qquad u_{\Box} = -u''^{\,(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}}\,.
\end{gathered}
$$

The counterterm coefficients to zeroth and first order in l may be read off from (A.2.9), (A.2.14) and the solution of (A.2.8) and (A.2.13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{(0)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} &\equiv \lambda_1 = \tfrac{1}{4}(u'_1 - u_1) & \qquad \lambda^{(0)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} &\equiv \lambda_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(u_2 - u'_2)\\
\lambda^{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ia}} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(u'_1 + u_1) & \lambda^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIa}} &= u_{\Box}\\
& & \lambda^{(1)}_{\mathrm{IIb}} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(u_2 + u'_2) - u_{\Box}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, we see that to 0th order in l, the counterterm coefficients (4.9) are reproduced, while the remaining breaking terms of (4.8) are coupled to l.

This completes the proof of theorem 3.

References

[1] T.E. Clark, O. Piguet, K. Sibold, *Supercurrents, Renormalization and Anomalies*, Nucl. Phys. **B 143** (1978) 445;
O. Piguet, K. Sibold, *On the Renormalization of $N = 1$ Rigid Supersymmetric Theories*, in: Supersymmetry and Supergtavity 1983, ed: B. Milewski, Proceedings of the XIXth Winter School and Workshop of Theoretical Physics, Karpacz, Poland 1983.

[2] O. Piguet and K. Sibold, *Renormalized Supersymmetry. The Perturbation Theory of $N = 1$ Supersymmetric Theories in Flat Space-Time*. Birkhäuser, Boston 1986.

[3] J. Erdmenger, C. Rupp and K. Sibold, *Conformal Transformation Properties of the Supercurrent in Four Dimensional Supersymmetric Theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B 530** (1998) 501, hep-th/9804053.

[4] J. Erdmenger, C. Rupp, *Geometrical superconformal anomalies*, hep-th/9809090.

[5] J. Erdmenger, C. Rupp, *Superconformal Ward Identities for Green Functions with Multiple Supercurrent Insertions*, Ann. of Phys. **276** (1999) 152-187, hep-th/9811209.

[6] J. Erdmenger, C. Rupp and K. Sibold, *Superconformal Transformation Properties of the Supercurrent II: Abelian Gauge Theories*, hep-th/9907169, to be published in Nucl. Phys. **B**.

[7] S.J. Gates, M. Roček, W. Siegel, *Solution to Constraints for $n = 0$ Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B 198** (1982) 113.
G. Girardi, R. Grimm, *Superspace Geometry and the Minimal, Non Minimal, and New Minimal Supergravity Multiplets*, Z. Phys. **C 26** (1984) 123.

[8] S.J. Gates, M.T. Grisaru, M. Roček and W. Siegel, *Superspace*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc. 1983.

[9] I.L. Buchbinder and S.M. Kuzenko, *Ideas and Methods of Supersymmetry and Supergravity*. Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia 1995.

[10] O. Piguet, S. Wolf, *The Supercurrent Trace Indentities of the $N = 1$, $D = 4$ Super Yang-Mills Theory in the Wess-Zumino Gauge*, JHEP 9804 (1998) 1.

[11] J.H. Lowenstein, *BPHZ renormalization*, in: Renormalization Theory, eds. G. Velo and A.S. Wightman, Erice lectures 1976;
O. Piguet and S. Sorella, *Algebraic Renormalization*. Springer Verlag, Berlin 1995;
K. Sibold, *Störungstheoretische Renormierung. Quantisierung von Eichtheorien*. Vorlesungsskript TU München 1993.

[12] W. Zimmermann, *Composite Operators in the Perturbation Theory of Renormalizable Interactions*, Ann. of Phys. **77** (1973) 536;

W. Zimmermann, *Normal Products and the Short Distance Expansion in the Perturbation Theory of Renormalizable Interactions*, Ann. of Phys. **77** (1973) 570.

[13] E. Kraus and K. Sibold, *Conformal Transformation Properties of the Energy Momentum Tensor in Four Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B 372** (1992) 113.

[14] H. Osborn, $N = 1$ *Superconformal Symmetry in Four Dimensional Quantum Field Theory*, hep-th/9808041.